### **Jacobs**

## Reconstruction and Expansion of Route I-495/Route 1A Wrentham, Massachusetts

**Request for Determination of Applicability** 

MassDOT Project #603739

July 27, 2022

#### Submitted by:

Massachusetts Department of Transportation

**Highway Division (MassDOT)** 





#### Contents

#### **Cover Letters**

#### **WPA 1 Form**

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

1.	Project Description1
1.1	Introduction1
1.2	Project Overview1
1.3	Purpose and Need1
2.	Existing Conditions
2.1	Desktop Review for Resource Areas
2.2	Wetland and Waterbody Resources
2.2.1	Wetland 3
2.2.2	Intermittent Stream 1
2.2.3	100-foot Buffer Zones
3.	Regulatory Compliance
3.1	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act
4.	Project Description5
4.1	Route 1A Reconstruction
4.2	I-495 and Route 1A Ramps
4.3	Wetland and Waterbody Impacts6
4.3.1	100-foot Buffer Zone
5.	Impact Minimization and Replication Measures
5.1	Erosion and Sedimentation Controls
5.2	Stormwater Management6
5.3	Site Restoration
6.	Summary

#### **Figures**

Attachment A – Site Plans

Attachment B – Wetland Delineation Report



#### **Cover Letters**

### **Jacobs**

July 6, 2022

Darryl Luce, Conservation Agent Wrentham Conservation Commission 79 South Street Wrentham, MA 02093

RE: Request for Determination of Applicability

MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Project

Wrentham, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Luce:

In accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40) and the implementing regulations (310 CMR 10.00), Jacobs Engineering (Jacobs), on behalf of Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) has prepared this Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA) and supporting documentation to perform roadway reconstruction along I-495 and Route 1A in Wrentham, MA. The Project was designed to avoid direct impacts to wetlands and waterbodies. Please note that MassDOT is exempt from notifying abutters under 310 CMR 10.05(4)a. As an Agency of the Commonwealth, MassDOT is also not subject to local bylaws and fees, and peer review fees.

If you have any questions about the project, please feel free to contact me at <a href="mailto:kyle.purdy@jacobs.com">kyle.purdy@jacobs.com</a> or (518) 598-8242.

Sincerely,

Kyle Purdy, CPESC

Jacobs

Enclosures: 2 copies of RDA

2 full size copies of Site Plans

CC: Melissa Lenker, MassDOT Highway Division

### Jacobs

July 6, 2022

MassDEP - Southeast Regional Office 20 Riverside Drive Lakeville, MA 02347

RE: Request for Determination of Applicability

MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Project

Wrentham, Massachusetts

#### Dear MassDEP:

In accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40) and the implementing regulations (310 CMR 10.00), Jacobs Engineering (Jacobs), on behalf of Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), has prepared this Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA) and supporting documentation to perform roadway reconstruction along I-495 and Route 1A in Wrentham, MA. The Project was designed to avoid direct impacts to wetlands and waterbodies. Please note that MassDOT is exempt from notifying abutters under 310 CMR 10.05(4)a. As an Agency of the Commonwealth, MassDOT is also not subject to local bylaws and fees, and peer review fees.

If you have any questions about the project, please feel free to contact me at kyle.purdy@jacobs.com or (518) 598-8242.

Sincerely,

Kyle Purdy, CPESC Jacobs

Enclosures: 1 copy of RDA

CC: Melissa Lenker, MassDOT Highway Division

Town of Wrentham Conservation Commission



#### **WPA 1 Form**



### **Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection**Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Wrentham City/Town

### **WPA Form 1- Request for Determination of Applicability** Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

#### A. General Information

#### Important: When filling out 1. forms on the

computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return



1.	Applicant:			
	Massachusetts Department of Transportation - Highway Div.	melissa.lenker@state.ma.us		
	Name	E-Mail Address		
	10 Park Plaza, Room 7360			
	Mailing Address			
	Boston	MA	02116	
	City/Town	State	Zip Code	
	(978) 429-1772			
	Phone Number	Fax Number (if	applicable)	
2.	Representative (if any):			
	Jacobs Engineering Group			
	Firm			
	Kyle Purdy	kyle.purdy@		
	Contact Name	E-Mail Address		
	120 Saint James Avenue, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
	Mailing Address			
	Boston	MA	02116	
	City/Town	State	Zip Code	
	(518) 598-8242			
	Phone Number	Fax Number (if	applicable)	
_	Datamainations			
В.	. Determinations			
1.	I request the Wrentham make the following determination(s). Check any that apply:			
•	Conservation Commission		у. Стост ату так арргу.	
	<ul> <li>a. whether the area depicted on plan(s) and/or map(s) referenced below is an area subject to jurisdiction of the Wetlands Protection Act.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>b. whether the <b>boundaries</b> of resource area(s) depicted on plan(s) and/or map(s) respectively delineated.</li> <li>c. whether the <b>work</b> depicted on plan(s) referenced below is subject to the Wetlands F</li> </ul>			map(s) referenced	
			Wetlands Protection Act.	
			subject to the jurisdiction	
	d. whether the area and/or work depicted on plan(s) referenced below is subject to the jurisdi of any municipal wetlands ordinance or bylaw of:			
	Name of Municipality			
	e. whether the following scope of alternatives is adequate	e for work in the	e Riverfront Area as	



1

### **Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection**Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Wrentham City/Town

#### WPA Form 1- Request for Determination of Applicability

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

#### C. Project Description

I-495 and Route 1A	Wrentham	
Street Address	City/Town	
N/A	N/A	
Assessors Map/Plat Number	Parcel/Lot Number	
b. Area Description (use additional paper, if nec	essary):	
The Project is located in the Town of Wrentham, I impacted by the proposed activities consists of the Route 1A ROWs. The remaining portions of the P and industrial properties; including the Wrentham	e previously cleared and maintained I-495 and Project are a mixed use of commercial, residentia	
c. Plan and/or Map Reference(s):		
, , ,	oject 6/29/2022	
MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Pro	oject 6/29/2022 Date	
MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Pro	,	
MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Pro Title	,	
c. Plan and/or Map Reference(s):  MassDOT I-495 and Route 1A Reconstruction Pro Title  Title	Date	

2. a. Work Description (use additional paper and/or provide plan(s) of work, if necessary):

The Project will improve Wrentham's multi-modal network and be consistent with MassDOT's Healthy Transportation Policy Directive (P-13-0001) and their Complete Streets standards for state roadways (E-20-001). Specifically, the proposed work in the buffer zone to BVW and the Bank on an intermittent stream consists of installing erosion controls, grading, repaving, and adding curbing and guardrail. See the attached narrative for additional details.



### **Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection**Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Wrentham City/Town

#### WPA Form 1- Request for Determination of Applicability

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

#### C. Project Description (cont.)

b. Identify provisions of the Wetlands Protection Act or regulations which may exempt the applicant from having to file a Notice of Intent for all or part of the described work (use additional paper, if necessary).

Certain project activities such as repaving, and drainage improvements are considered minor activities within the buffer zone and are exempt from regulation under 310 CMR 10.02 (2)(b)(2)(p).

3.	If this application is a Request for Determination of Scope of Alternatives for work in the verfront Area, indicate the one classification below that best describes the project.
	Single family house on a lot recorded on or before 8/1/96
	Single family house on a lot recorded after 8/1/96
	Expansion of an existing structure on a lot recorded after 8/1/96
	Project, other than a single-family house or public project, where the applicant owned the lot before 8/7/96
	New agriculture or aquaculture project
	Public project where funds were appropriated prior to 8/7/96
	Project on a lot shown on an approved, definitive subdivision plan where there is a recorded deed restriction limiting total alteration of the Riverfront Area for the entire subdivision
	Residential subdivision; institutional, industrial, or commercial project
	Municipal project
	District, county, state, or federal government project
	Project required to evaluate off-site alternatives in more than one municipality in an Environmental Impact Report under MEPA or in an alternatives analysis pursuant to an application for a 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or 401 Water Quality Certification from the Department of Environmental Protection.
	Provide evidence (e.g., record of date subdivision lot was recorded) supporting the classification ove (use additional paper and/or attach appropriate documents, if necessary.)



#### **Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection**

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Name and address of the property owner:

Wrentham City/Town

#### WPA Form 1- Request for Determination of Applicability

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

#### D. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Request for Determination of Applicability and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

I further certify that the property owner, if different from the applicant, and the appropriate DEP Regional Office were sent a complete copy of this Request (including all appropriate documentation) simultaneously with the submittal of this Request to the Conservation Commission.

Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Request for Determination of Applicability.

Massachusetts Department of Transportation - Highway Division Name 10 Park Plaza Mailing Address **Boston** City/Town MA 02116 Zip Code State Signatures: I also understand that notification of this Request will be placed in a local newspaper at my expense in accordance with Section 10.05(3)(b)(1) of the Wetlands Protection Act regulations. 06/29/2022 nature of Applicant Date Kyls Purdy
Signature of Representative (if any) 06/29/2022 Date



#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

BLSF Bordering Land Subject to Flooding

BMPs Best Management Practice

BVW Bordering Vegetated Wetlands

CMR Code of Massachusetts Regulations

CVP Certified Vernal Pool

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

MAHW mean annual high water

MassDEP Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Massachusetts Department of Transportation

Massachusetts Bureau of Geographic Information

NHESP Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program

NWI National Wetland Inventory
ORW Outstanding Resource Water

Project Reconstruction and Expansion of I-495 and Route 1

PVP Potential Vernal Pool

RDA Request for Determination of Applicability

ROW right-of-way

Site MassDOT's ROW, I-495 and Route 1A, Wrentham, Massachusetts

SWPPP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

WPA Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act



#### 1. Project Description

#### 1.1 Introduction

The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), submits this Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA) and supporting documentation in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) (M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40) and the implementing regulations (310 Code of Massachusetts Regulations (CMR) 10.00) for work within the buffer zone to a Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW). The proposed activities include the reconstruction and expansion of the Interstate 495 (I-495) and Route 1A intersection (Project) located in the Town of Wrentham, Massachusetts (Site). The proposed work area is limited to work within the MassDOT right-of-way (ROW). MassDOT, as an Agency of the Commonwealth, is exempt from local bylaws and fees. In addition, as per 310 CMR 10.05(4)(a), "Abutter notification is not required for projects proposed by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division pursuant to St. 1993, c. 472 as approved on January 13, 1994."

#### 1.2 Project Overview

The Project proposes the construction of ramps at the interchange of I-495 and Route 1A to accommodate increased volumes resulting from commercial development along Route 1A adjacent to the interchange. The purpose of the Project is to improve the vehicular safety and functionality of Route 1A within the Site. The Project proposes the addition of a southbound slip ramp onto I-495 from Route 1A, milling and repaving existing pavement, improving intersection capacity and safety, and complying with the Healthy Transportation Policy (P-13-0001) which aims to increase bicycling, transit and walking options through the inclusion of wide shoulders, bike lanes and sidewalks and Engineering Directive E-20-001, which indicates the controlling criteria and appropriate design guidance that shall be applied to MassDOT Highway Division Projects. The intent is to retain the existing horizontal and vertical roadway geometry, as practical. The Project scope includes drainage, landscaping, installation of sidewalks, widening of shoulders for use as bicycle lanes, granite curbing, and associated roadway work, including various pavement rehabilitation treatments such as standard overlay, structural overlay and full depth reconstruction. Construction will include the establishment and installation of erosion and sediment control best management practices (BMPs) around the work zones prior to the proposed activities.

#### 1.3 Purpose and Need

The purpose of the Project is to improve the pedestrian network and the limited crossing opportunities throughout the roadway corridor. In addition to the broken network, the existing travel lanes and shoulders encourage high vehicle speeds that negatively impact pedestrian and bicyclist safety. The intersections have substandard design features including the lack of pedestrian and bicycle lanes and insufficient roadway geometry for the motor vehicle traffic volumes traveling through the intersections. The Project will also increase stormwater structures and drainage by installation of deep sump catch basins, drainage pipe, stormwater managements features, and stabilized outfalls.

The Project will improve Wrentham's multi-modal network. It will bring the pedestrian features within the Project limits into compliance with ADA/AAB¹ standards and provide necessary bicycle lanes. Specifically, the Project will improve the functionality and appearance of Route 1A by incorporating:

• Enhanced roadway geometry that will encourage safe vehicular speeds and increase capacity at the intersections;

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Americans with Disabilities Act, Architectural Access Board (M.G.L. c. 22, § 13A).



- Gateway geometry and traffic calming features such as pedestrian safety islands to enforce safe vehicular speeds and mitigate other safety issues;
- Safe and connected pedestrian and bicycle facilities, such as side paths, landscaped buffers, and sidewalks; and
- Pedestrian and bicycle crossings within the corridor to improve safety and connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists.

#### 2. Existing Conditions

The Project is located in the Town of Wrentham, Massachusetts. The majority of the area to be impacted by the proposed activities consists of the previously cleared and maintained I-495 and Route 1A ROW. The remaining portions of the Project are a mixed use of commercial, residential, and industrial properties (**Figure 1**). Directly north of I-495 is a residential area, with an abandoned farm/parking area located approximately 780 feet north of the I-495/Route 1A intersection. Both northeast and southeast of I-495 is an undisturbed area associated with the Wrentham State Forest. To the southwest of the I-495/Route 1A intersection is the Wrentham Village Premium Outlet's parking lot. Located just east of the Wrentham Village Premium Outlet's parking lot is an overhead electrical transmission line ROW that runs in a north - south direction. Further south along the western portion of Route 1A is a ponded area. Located just southeast of the I-495/Route 1A intersection is a residential area associated with Nickerson Lane/Berry Street (**Figure 2**).

#### 2.1 Desktop Review for Resource Areas

Based on reviews of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) Wetland Maps for Norfolk County, freshwater wetlands are mapped within the I-495 and Route 1A ROW (Figure 3). MassDEP classifies the wetlands to the southwest of the I-495/Route 1A intersection as open water (OW, located east of the electrical transmission line ROW) and deep marsh (DM, located west of the electrical transmission line ROW). Along the western side of Route 1A, in the southernmost portion of the survey area is a mapped OW pond. This pond has a hydrologic connection running southward then eastward underneath Route 1A. Located along the southern portion of I-495, in the easternmost portion of the survey area, is a shrub swamp (SS) wetland. Located along the northern portion of I-495, in the easternmost portion of the survey area is a DM wetland. Located northeast of the I-495 southbound exit ramp is a SS wetland continuing westward into a DM wetland.

The most recently issued Flood Insurance Rate Map <sup>2</sup> for the area, produced by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), indicates the Site is not located within the 100-year floodplain (**Figure 5**). Therefore, the Project is not anticipated to impact Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF) under the WPA.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service<sup>3</sup> soil survey for Norfolk County has mapped a majority of the Site as Udorthents, sandy (653). For a full listing of the mapped soils within and surrounding the Site, please refer to **Figure 6**.

According to the most recently available data provided by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)<sup>4</sup>, no Priority Habitats of Rare Species or Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife are mapped within or adjacent to the Site (**Figure 7**). There are no Certified or Potential Vernal Pools within the Site. The closest Certified Vernal Pool (CVP 7774) is located approximately 210 feet north of the northern edge of the I-495 pavement. Another Certified Vernal Pool (CVP 7397) is located approximately 230 feet south of the southern edge of the I-495 pavement. There is one Potential Vernal Pools (PVP 29587) located southwest of the I-495/Route 1A intersection; just southwest of the I-495 northbound exit ramp. Another Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29588) is located just east of the electrical transmission line. One Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29596) is located

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Federal Emergency Management Agency, October 2017, National Hazard Flood Layer, Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map. Maps 25021C0336E and 25021C0337E. Effective 7/17/2012. Accessed July 9, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Web Soil Survey

<sup>4</sup> Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, Oct. 2017. Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas. 14th Edition.



approximately 134 feet east of CVP 7397. Another Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29599) is located directly on top of CVP 7774. The last Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29600) is located approximately 390 feet north of the I-495 southbound exit ramp. All of these features are well outside of the Project limits.

No portion of the Site is within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern<sup>5</sup>. According to MassDEP, the easternmost portion of the Site is located in an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water<sup>6</sup> (ORW). This ORW is associated with Wading River, which is a public water supply watershed of Taunton (PWS 4016000-05S3009000-01S), but no work is proposed within this area. No portion of the Site is located within a Zone I or Interim Wellhead Protection Area, Supplying Wrentham Water Division (Figure 8).

#### 2.2 Wetland and Waterbody Resources

Jacobs Wetland Scientists delineated wetlands and waterbodies immediately adjacent to the Site on July 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2019 in accordance with methods developed by the MassDEP<sup>8</sup> and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers<sup>9</sup> (USACE). The WPA resource areas identified adjacent to the Project include Bank, BVWs, and Isolated Land Subject to Flooding:

- Bank: As defined in 310 CMR 10.54(2), Bank is "the portion of the land surface which normally abuts and confines a water body. The upper boundary of Bank is the first observable break in slope or the mean annual flood level, whichever is lower."
- Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW): As defined in 310 CMR 10.55(2), BVWs are "freshwater wetlands which border on creeks, rivers, streams, ponds and lakes. The types of freshwater wetlands are wet meadows, marshes, swamps and bogs. Bordering Vegetated Wetlands are areas where the soils are saturated and/or inundated such that they support a predominance of wetland indicator plants."
- Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF): As defined in 310 CMR 10.57(2)(b), are "isolated depression or closed basin without an inlet or an outlet. It is an area which at least once a year confines standing water to a volume of at least 1/4 acre-feet and to an average depth of at least six inches."

A total of eight wetlands (two non-jurisdictional) and one waterbody were delineated adjacent to the Site. Additional information regarding the dominant vegetation, hydrological indicators, and soil characteristics are provided in **Attachment B** – Wetland Delineation Report. Only the 100-foot buffer zones of Wetland 3 and Intermittent Stream 1 will be impacted by the Project. All other resources are located well outside the limits of the proposed work activities.

#### 2.2.1 Wetland 3

Wetland 3 was delineated as an open water/ponded feature located just south of the driveway to PW Preston company, located off the western shoulder of Route 1A. The mean annual high water (MAHW) line of the pond was delineated with flagging labeled as W3-1 through W3-10. At delineation flag W3-9, an inlet to a 24-inch ductile iron culvert was observed to drain southward, connecting with Intermittent Stream 1 at delineation flag IS1-1. Wetland 3 is depicted on Sheet 3 of the Site Plans (Attachment A).

#### 2.2.2 Intermittent Stream 1

Intermittent Stream 1 was delineated south of Wetland 3, originating from the outlet of the 24 inch ductile iron culvert pipe and continuing southward then eastward underneath Route 1A. Intermittent Stream 1 was observed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MassGIS (collaboration with DCR and CZM), Sept. 2017. Massachusetts ACECs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MassGIS, Dec. 2017. Designated Outstanding Resource Waters of Massachusetts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MassGIS, Oct. 2017. Approved Wellhead Protection Areas (Zone I and IWPAs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> MassDEP, 1995. Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act.

<sup>9</sup> USACE, 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region, Version 2.0.



to enter a separate 24 inch ductile iron culvert pipe that crosses underneath Route 1A at delineation flag IS1-19 and outlets east of Route 1A at delineation flag IS1-20; the stream was then observed to extend northeastward outside the Project limits. The eastern/northern Bank of Intermittent Stream 1 was delineated, as the Project limits are located northeast/north of the stream. During the time of delineation, no surficial flow was observed. The western/southern Bank was not delineated, as this channel was observed to be well outside the Project limits. The stream varies in width with the portion located west of Route 1A approximately three feet in width; to the east side of Route 1A was observed to vary between 10-12 feet in width. The stream substrate was observed to be vegetated with some sand observed east of the culvert.

MassDEP establishes 100-foot buffer zones extending from BVW and Bank and as such, these areas are depicted in the Site Plans (Attachment A). The area of the 100-foot buffer zone was observed during the field visits to not be in a naturally vegetated state. The area was observed to be covered by impervious surfaces or consist of maintained/mowed grass as seen in the Photographic Log. The 100-foot buffer zone was not observed to be a high-quality buffer zone providing substantial value as an upland transitional zone to wetlands and waterbodies.

Additional information pertaining to the characterization of BVWs can be found within the USACE Data Forms, as well as representative photographs depicting the existing conditions of the Site during the time of the delineation can be found in **Attachment B**.

#### 2.2.3 100-foot Buffer Zones

The WPA states that any activities that are undertaken within 100 feet of an area specified in 310 CMR 10.02(1)(a) (e.g. Bank, BVW) will be conducted per (310 CMR 10.02(2)(b)), "in a manner so as to reduce the potential for any adverse impacts to the resource area during construction, and with post-construction measures implemented to stabilize any disturbed areas." A portion of the proposed work is located within the 100-foot buffer zones to Bank and BVW. The 100-foot buffer zones to Bank and BVW were observed to be predominantly paved roadways, gravel driveways, mowed and vegetated side slopes of the roadway, as well as small scrub-shrub upland areas just off of Route 1A. As per 310 CMR 10.02(2)(b)(1), erosion and sediment controls will be placed prior to the start of work within these areas to avoid adverse impacts to adjacent resource areas.

#### 3. Regulatory Compliance

A majority of the Project's reconstruction and resurfacing activities fit the *Minor Activities* designation under 310 CMR 10.02(2)(b)(2)(p): "Pavement repair, resurfacing, and reclamation of existing roadways within the right-of-way configuration provided that the roadway and shoulders are not widened, no staging or stockpiling of materials, all disturbed road shoulders are stabilized within 72 hours of completion of the resurfacing or reclamation, and no work on the drainage system is performed, other than adjustments and/or repairs to respective structures within the roadway."

#### 3.1 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act

As described in 310 CMR 10.00(2), the Project complies with the following eight interests of the WPA:

1) Protection of public and private water supply: No portion of the Site is located within a Zone I, II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area. The Project is proposing to implement BMPs for the proposed activities along Route 1A, including the addition of deep sump catch basins, drainage pipe, stormwater managements features, and stabilized outfalls to treat additional stormwater resulting from the addition of impervious surfaces. These BMPs will minimize the potential for the migration of sediment and sediment-laden runoff from draining into wetlands and waterbodies. The BMPs are also intended to prevent erosion of soil. Therefore, no adverse impacts to public or private water supplies are anticipated as a result of the proposed Project.



- 2) Protection of ground water supply: The Project is not anticipated to impact groundwater resources as no known groundwater supply wells exist within or adjacent to the Site. As described above, BMPs will be employed to minimize adverse impacts to surface waters.
- 3) Flood control: There is no mapped 100-year floodplain within or adjacent to the Site. Therefore, the Project is not anticipated to result in negative impacts to flood control structures or the storage of flood flows.
- 4) Storm damage prevention: The proposed work is anticipated to impact the 100-foot buffer zones to Bank and BVW. The work is required to maintain vehicle and pedestrian traffic along Route 1A, as well as improve existing catch basin inlets, culverts and other stormwater structures. The Project will provide more resilient conditions than those that currently exist, as well as improve stormwater runoff with the addition of an extended dry detention basin with sediment forebay by the new I-495 southbound on ramp.
- 5) *Prevention of pollution*: The proposed work is not anticipated to impact wetlands that currently provide value for prevention of pollution or contaminant attenuation. BMPs will be employed during the Project to minimize the potential for adverse impacts to the water quality of wetlands and waterbodies.
- 6) Protection of land containing shellfish: The Site is located well outside of areas influenced by the ebb and flow of the tide. Therefore, no land or areas containing shellfish will be impacted by the Project.
- 7) Protection of fisheries: BMPs will be installed to prevent migration of sediment, erosion of existing soil, and sediment-laden runoff from draining into wetlands and waterbodies. Intermittent Stream 1 is not a perennial stream and therefore is not a designated coldwater fisheries resource, nor does it possess the presence of anadromous fish. Therefore, no known fisheries will be impacted by the Project.
- 8) Protection of wildlife habitat: No portion of the Project is located within an area mapped as Natural Communities, Priority Habitat of Rare Species or Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife. The Site consists of ROW and the previously disturbed side slopes of the roadway. As no wildlife habitat exists within the ROW, wildlife habitat is not anticipated to be negatively impacted by the Project.

#### 4. Project Description

#### 4.1 Route 1A Reconstruction

The proposed reconstruction along Route 1A employs sidewalks as well as shared use paths. From Wrentham Crossing north to the Premium Outlets Boulevard, a 10 foot wide shared use path with a five foot grass buffer is proposed along the eastern side of Route 1A. Pedestrians then cross Route 1A using new crosswalks and pedestrian signals. From Premium Outlets Boulevard north to the limit of work, pedestrians and bicyclists share a proposed 10 foot wide shared use path with grass buffer along both the western and eastern sides of Route 1A. The construction of new shared use paths along the corridor includes wheelchair ramps compliant with MassDOT and ADA/AAB standards.

#### 4.2 I-495 and Route 1A Ramps

The proposed design of the roadways and I-495 on and off ramps include 11 foot wide travel lanes and ten foot wide turning lanes in combination with the suggested pedestrian and bicycle strategy described above. At the I-495 overpasses, the existing abutment slope retaining walls will be removed and a new wall constructed to support the raised 10 foot wide shared use path. The available width between the walls will support a vertical curb on each side, four, 11 foot wide travel lanes, two, four foot shoulders. An extended dry detention basin with sediment forebay is proposed within the land bounded by the proposed on ramp to I-495 southbound, from Route 1A. The proposed drainage improvements to the drainage network include installation/upgrading of a closed drainage system to direct, treat, and discharge stormwater runoff from the roadway. No retaining wall is required in front of



Wrentham Crossing. To further facilitate safe operations, signage and pavement markings, as well as upgraded roadway lighting are proposed. The proposed drainage system improvements include deep sump catch basins, manholes, drainage pipe, stormwater management features, and stabilized outfalls, that will provide infiltration treatment and reduce erosion and sediment runoff directly into the nearby wetlands.

#### 4.3 Wetland and Waterbody Impacts

The Project will not result in any temporary or permanent impacts to Bank or BVW. However, temporary and permanent impacts are proposed to their 100-foot buffer zones.

#### 4.3.1 100-foot Buffer Zone

Portions of the Site are located within the 100-foot buffer zones to BVW and Bank. A majority of these areas are associated with the existing roadway and side slopes of Route 1A. The work in the buffer zone consists of installing erosion controls, grading, repaving, and adding curbing and guardrail. Portions of this work qualifies as minor exempt activities under 310 CMR 10.02(2)(b)(2)(p). Upon regrading the side slopes, the area will be loamed and seeded with a native seed mixture. Guardrail will be installed behind the edge of the sidewalk. Total impacts to the 100-foot buffer zones to BVW and Bank are quantified in **Table 1** below.

	Table 1 – Impa	act Table	
WPA Resource Area	Temporary Impact Area (ft²)	Permanent Impact Area (ft²)	Total Impacts (ft²)
100-foot Buffer Zone to BVW/Bank	4,835	1,470	6,305

The Project design has avoided direct impacts to wetlands and other sensitive environmental resource areas. Negligible impacts to the 100-foot buffer zones of WPA resources have been minimized to the maximum extent practicable. More details on impact minimization are provided in Section 5 below.

#### 5. Mitigation Measures

#### 5.1 Erosion and Sedimentation Controls

Prior to construction, erosion and sedimentation controls (e.g. sediment control barriers, catch basin inlet protection, silt fencing) will be installed as per the Site Plans (Attachment A). These controls will be maintained by the Contractor throughout the life of the Project. After completion of the work and final stabilization, all erosion and sedimentation controls will be removed. The Contractor will also be required to remove any remnant demolition debris or other construction related materials. Work within the Site will be conducted from the existing roadway wherever feasible. Minor vegetation clearing may be necessary during or prior to construction.

#### 5.2 Stormwater Management

The Project is proposing to reconstruct the roadway and construct several new stormwater drainage structures. The Stormwater Report is available upon request which further details how the proposed Project will utilize structural and non-structural control measures to provide stormwater management in accordance with federal and state requirements.

The new portion of the Project includes the proposed I-495 southbound entrance ramp. The ramp will be 22 feet wide with slope granite edging. The extended dry detention basin with sediment forebay will treat and properly discharge stormwater runoff from the new roadway. The existing conditions currently allow for sheet flow runoff to drain directly off the roadway along parts of the Project corridor. There are other locations where an existing



closed drainage system is in place, with improper spacing. The deep sump catch basins, manholes, drainage pipe, stormwater management features, and stabilized outfalls, will provide infiltration treatment and reduce erosion and sediment runoff. The proposed catchment areas consist of more impervious surface than the existing conditions, because of the proposed side use paths, sidewalks, minor roadway widening on Route 1A, and the addition of the new ramp. The additional attributes include groundwater recharge, sediment/toxicant retention, nutrient removal/retention/transformation, and visual quality/aesthetics.

Note that none of the proposed stormwater management features lie within jurisdictional buffer zones to wetland resource areas. Once a Contractor is awarded the work, they will be responsible for providing a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that coincides with the applicable permits.

#### 5.3 Site Restoration

Areas that are temporarily impacted, that will remain pervious, will be loamed and seeded (with an upland seed mix native to New England) following construction. Vegetation will be reestablished in these areas which include uplands, and the 100-foot buffer zones to Bank and BVW. This mix is composed of species that should produce more than 75% ground cover in two full growing seasons. The mix may be applied by hydro-seeding, by mechanical spreader, or spread by hand and then lightly raked to ensure proper soil-seed contact.


#### 6. Summary

MassDOT is proposing to reconstruct a portion of the I-495 and Route 1A intersections to improve multi-modal accommodation, as well as incorporate geometric improvements to the roadway corridor. Proposed work will result in temporary and permanent impacts to the 100-foot buffer zones to Bank and BVW. Temporary impacts will be mitigated by reseeding affected areas with a native seed mixture. Work will take place within previously degraded areas and will not result in the loss of the 100-foot buffer zones upland transitional functions and values. Erosion and sedimentation controls will be employed throughout construction to prevent any additional impacts to resource areas.

MassDOT respectfully requests that the Wrentham Conservation Commission find these measures adequately protective of the interests identified in the WPA and issue a Negative Determination that the Project is subject to the jurisdiction of the WPA.

**Jacobs** 

#### **Figures**




WRENTHAM, NORFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS

#### FIGURE 3 - MASSDEP WETLANDS MAP


ROUTE I-495 AND ROUTE 1A RECONSTRUCTION WRENTHAM, NORFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS


APRIL 2022 E2X691A7



Prepared by: Jacobs

**ROUTE I-495 AND ROUTE 1A RECONSTRUCTION** WRENTHAM, NORFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS E2X691A7







#### Attachment A – Site Plans

## I-495 / ROUTE 1A RAMPS FED. AID PROJ. NO.

PROJECT FILE NO. TITLE SHEET

# MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAY DIVISION

PLAN AND PROFILE OF

ROUTE 1A (SOUTH STREET) AND I-495

IN THE TOWN OF

WRENTHAM NORFOLK COUNTY


FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO.

THE MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAY DIVISION STANDARD

#### **INDEX**

DESCRIPTION TITLE SHEET & INDEX **OVERVIEW PLAN ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS** 16-17 **ENVIRONMENTAL DETAILS** 

### RDA PLANS LEGEND:




PERMANENT TEMPORARY IMPACT TO 100' BUFFER ZONE TO

EXISTING BANK OR BVW

100' BUFFER ZONE TO BVW

# ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS



SCALE: 1" = 1500'


LENGTH OF PROJECT (ROUTE 1A) = 3,630 FEET = 0.688 MILES LENGTH OF PROJECT (I-495 SOUTHBOUND ENTRANCE RAMP) = 2,396.6 FEET = 0.454 MILES


#### DESIGN DESIGNATION (ROUTE 1A)


DESIGN SPEED	45 MPH
ADT (2020)	25,004
ADT (2040)	33,676
K	8%
D	51%
T (PEAK HOUR)	5%
T (AVERAGE DAY)	7%
DHV	2,857
DDHV	1,471
INICTIONIAL OF ASSISTEMATION	LIDRANI MINIOD AE


URBAN MINOR ARTERIAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION


7/26/2022	UPDATED FOR CON. COM. COMMENTS	1.0
DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV#










































## NOTES:

- 1. INSTALL SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER ALONG CONTOURS AND PERPENDICULAR TO SHEET OR CONCENTRATED FLOW.
- 2. DO NOT INSTALL IN PERENNIAL, EPHEMERAL OR INTERMITTENT STREAMS.
- CONFIGURE SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER AROUND EXISTING SITE FEATURES TO MINIMIZE SITE DISTURBANCE AND MAXIMIZE CAPTURE AREA OF STORMWATER RUN-

4. FOR WORK ADJACENT TO WETLAND 3, PLEASE USE THE TOWN OF WRENTHAM RECOMMENDED COMPOST SOCK.




PLAN VIEW - JOIN DETAIL

2 INCH X 2 INCH X 3 FEET UNTREATED HARDWOOD STAKES, UP TO 5 FEET APART OR AS REQUIRED TO SECURE TUBES IN PLACE. WHEN STAKING IS NOT POSSIBLE, SUCH AS WHEN TUBES MUST BE PLACED ON PAVEMENT HEAVY CONCRETE OR CINDER BLOCKS CAN BE USED BEHIND TUBES UP TO 5 FEET APART OR AS REQUIRED TO SECURE TUBES IN PLACE. — LIMIT OF WORK


SECTION VIEW

SSEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER

NOT TO SCALE



FENCE PROTECTION OF ROOT ZONE - SECTION VIEW



PRUNE CANOPY AS REQUIRED TO PREVENT DAMAGE FROM CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT. REMOVE DEAD/DAMAGED ARMOR TREES AS LIMBS IF AND AS DIRECTED. SHOWN ON PLANS PRUNING SHALL BE PER ANSI OR PER ARBORIST A300 STANDARDS — ARMOR FROM BASE OF TREE INCLUDING ROOT FLARE, TO FIRST BRANCH. CONSTRUCTION ZONE -NO TRESPASSING, STORAGE OF **EQUIPMENT, OR STOCKPILING OF MATERIALS** — TREE ROOT ZONE —

**SECTION - TRUNK ARMORING & PRUNING** 

SEDIMENT CONTROL BARRIER

DIRECTION OF THE ENGINEER.

GRADE.

IF USING COMPOST FILTER TUBES FOR SEDIMENT

CONTROL BARRIER, TUBES SHALL BE JUTE MESH

OR APPROVED BIODEGRADABLE MATERIAL

ADDITIONAL TUBES SHALL BE USED AT THE

TAMP TUBES IN PLACE TO ENSURE GOOD

CONTACT WITH SOIL SURFACE. IT IS NOT

NECESSARY TO TRENCH TUBES INTO EXISTING

INSTALLATION TO OCCUR AT OR WIITHIN LIMIT

UNDISTURBED SUBGRADE

OF WORK TO PREVENT IMPACTS TO RESOURCE

FENCE PROTECTION OF ROOT ZONE - PLAN VIEW

TREE PROTECTION NOT TO SCALE

#### **SPECIAL NOTES:**

- NOTICE OF WORK STARTING: REQUIRES THE CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR TO CONTACT THE CONSERVATION AGENT FOR AN ON-SITE MEETING TO DISCUSS THE WORK PRIOR TO WORK BEGINNING.
- 2. STORMWATER INSPECTIONS: THE EROSION CONTROLS AND STABILIZATION MATERIALS SHALL BE INSPECTED DAILY AND REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY OR AUGMENTED IF EROSION OCCURS.
- 3. STABILIZATION MATERIALS: TEMPORARY STABILIZATION CONSISTING OF JUTE NETTING, A PROPER EROSION CONTROL BLANKET, STUMP GRINDINGS, WOOD CHIPS (AT LEAST 2-INCHES THICK), OR CHOPPED STRAW, NOT HAY (AT LEAST 3-INCHES THICK), MUST COVER ALL BARE SURFACES WITHIN THE BUFFER ZONE WITHIN 48-HOURS OF DISTURBANCE. ONCE A CONTRACTOR IS SELECTED BY MASSDOT, THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROVIDE A SPECIFICATION FOR THEIR PROPOSED TEMPORARY STABILIZATION TO THE TOWN OF WRENTHAM CONSERVATION AGENT FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- NO IMPORTED SOIL IS TO BE USED TO PREVENT THE SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS AND INSECTS.

# TOWN OF WRENTHAM - COMPOST SOCK

TO BE INSTALLED AT LIMIT OF WORK ADJACENT TO WETLAND 3 (AS LABELED ON SHEET 3)

> **Wrentham Conservation Commission Specifications for Mulch Filter Tubes ("Compost Socks")**

The purpose of Mulch Filter Tubes is to provide a linear embankment of organic material, to be placed in the path of stormwater flows for the purpose of filtering a substantial portion of the suspended sediments from the flow. This item shall conform to the following requirements:

- 1. The filter tube exterior shall be 100% organic burlap or other approved biodegradable material, and will become incorporated with the organic interior material.
- 2. Organic matter content shall be between 20-100% (dry weight basis) as determined by ASTM D2974 (method A) Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash and Organic Matter of Peat and Other Organic
- 3. Moisture content shall be <150% by dry weight (<60% by wet weight) as measured by ASTM D2216 Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water Content of Soil and Rock and ASTM D2974 (cited above).
- 4. Particle size as measured by sieving shall be as follows:

% Passing Sieve Size 75 mm 100% 70-100% 19 mm #4 30-75% 20-40% #20

No particle may be longer than 150 mm.

5. Soluble salts in the organic interior material shall be <5.0 mmhos/cm, and the pH of same shall be between 5.5 and 8.0.

# MAINTENANCE NOTES


The Contractor shall maintain the filter tubes in a functional condition at all times, including inspections after each rainfall and at least daily during prolonged rainfall. Contractor shall immediately correct all deficiencies, such as gaps in coverage, overtopping, clogging with sediment, erosion, or otherwise becoming ineffective. Any filter tube which has broken open or otherwise had its functioning compromised shall be immediately replaced, and all mulch from the filter tube interior spilled in any area intended to be protected by the filter tube shall be immediately removed. Contractor shall make a daily review of the location of the berm in areas where construction activity causes drainage runoff to ensure that the tube is properly located for effectiveness. Where deficiencies exist, such as gaps in coverage, overtopping, or wash-out, corrective action shall be taken immediately, consistent with the Negative Determination with Special Conditions or Order of Conditions, or as approved or directed by the Commission or its Agent. Contractor shall remove sediment deposits as necessary to maintain the filters in working condition. Sediment also must be removed when it reaches a level equal to one-half the effective height of the installed filter tube.

# REMOVAL NOTES

The Contractor shall rake out filter berms so that filter material is no greater than 3" in depth on soil substrate. Typically, filter tubes are to be removed at the same point in the construction process as conventional erosion control materials such as hay bales and silt fence would be. In appropriate cases, the Commission or its Agent may direct that the tubes and/or their contents remain in place to decompose naturally. If only the interior material is to remain, the exterior tubing material shall be cut and removed and disposed of off-site by the Contractor. Filter material remaining shall be raked per the above instructions.

# OTHER NOTES

The diameter or height of the filter tubes or compound filter tubes to be used may be specified by the Commission in its Negative Determination with Special Conditions or Order of Conditions. Steeper slopes may require the use of compound filter tubes to create a berm.




# 2" PAVEMENT PROP FULL DEPTH MICRO-MILLING CONSTRUCTION LIMIT OF WORK MEET EXISTING LENGTH VARIES PAVEMENT (SEE PLANS) - HMA SURFACE COURSE — HMA INTERMEDIATE - SAWCUT **EXISTING** AND BASE COURSES ROADWAY-SAWCUT-


MODIFIED ROCKFILL SLOPE

NOT TO SCALE

# PAVEMENT TRANSITION NOT TO SCALE



# SILT SACK NOT TO SCALE




# PAVEMENT MARKING DETAILS

NOT TO SCALE


## WRENTHAM, MA I-495 / ROUTE 1A RAMPS

STATE	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	SHEET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS
MA	-	17	17
	PROJECT FILE NO.	603739	

ENVIRONMENTAL DETAILS SHEET 2 OF 2



# GRANITE CURB TYPE VA4 - SPLAYED END NOT TO SCALE




# FLEXPOSTS NOTES:

- 1. INSTALLATION TO BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS.
- 2. DIMENSIONS, MATERIALS, AND ATTACHMENTS MAY VARY BETWEEN MANUFACTURES.
- 3. COLOR OF POST SHALL MATCH COLOR OF APPLICABLE PAVEMENT
- MARKING LINE PER MASSDOT SPECIFICATIONS.


  4. INSTALLATION WILL BE LOCATED IN THE CENTER OF THE SIDEPATH, 1'
- BACK FROM THE EDGE OF THE BIKE RAMP.

36" CORED BASE FLEXPOST DETAIL

NOT TO SCALE



INSTALLATION DETAIL



NOTE: THE SIDE PATH SHOULDER OBSTRUCTION MARKING SHALL BE APPLIED AT ANY OBSTRUCTION WHERE THERE IS LESS THAN 2' FROM EDGE OF SIDE PATH TO FACE OF THE OBSTRUCTION.

SIDE PATH SHOULDER OBSTRUCTION
PAVEMENT MARKING DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE



# Attachment B – Wetland Delineation Report



120 Saint James Avenue, 5th Floor Boston, MA 02116

www.jacobs.com

Subject Wetland Delineation Report Project Name Construction of Route I-495/Route 1A

Ramps

Attention Hung Pham, Stormwater Program Coordinator Project Number 603739

Massachusetts Department of Transportation

(MassDOT) Environmental Services

Prepared By Kyle Purdy, Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. (Jacobs)

Jessica Rebholz, Jacobs

Date August 1, 2019

**Attachments** Figures

A – Delineation Map
B – USACE Data Forms
C – Photographic Log

Jacobs conducted a wetland delineation on July 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2019 for the MassDOT Construction of Route I-495/Route 1A Ramps (Project), located along I-495 and Route 1A in Wrentham, Norfolk County, Massachusetts (Site). This report describes resource areas subject to protection under the Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq), and the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) (MGL Chapter 31, Section 40) that exist within and directly adjacent to the Site.

#### 1. Site Location and Description

Route 1A runs north-south through the Town of Wrentham from the Norfolk town line to the Plainville town line. Route 1A provides access to residential areas and local roadways throughout Wrentham, while also supporting significant commercial and retail development both in and around the study area.

On the northern end of the Site, Route 1A is intersected by the I-495 overpass ramps at exit 15. In this area, there is sparse residential/commercial development. Moving south, Route 1A is heavily developed with multiple commercial outlet properties. The Wrentham Premium Outlets and the Wrentham Crossing Shopping Plaza both intersect Route 1A at signalized intersections. The Wrentham Premium Outlets is a major generator of traffic and congestion on Route 1A. The Wrentham Crossing Outlets are currently not developed, but there are plans for this property to open in the future.

Within the Site limits, Route 1A is typically a four-lane cross section with localized provision of additional lanes at intersections. The two-lane roadway widens to four lanes at the I-495 interchange and continues as four lanes through Wrentham Village Premium Outlets to Wrentham Crossing. South of the Wrentham Crossing intersection, it tapers down to two lanes to the Plainville town line. Route 1A through Wrentham is classified as an urban minor arterial and is under the jurisdiction of MassDOT. The travel lanes are typically 11 to 12 feet wide and the shoulders range from 1 to 5 feet wide.



#### 2. Existing Conditions

According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic map, the approximate elevation for the Site ranges from 252 to 372 feet above mean sea level (**Figure 1**). Most of the area to be impacted by the proposed activities consists of the maintained, already cleared I-495 and Route 1A highway right-ofways (ROW). The remaining portions of the Site is the commercial parking lot for the Wrentham Premium Outlets and associated commercial development buildings (**Figure 2**).

#### 2.1 Desktop Review for Resource Areas

Based on reviews of the MassDEP Wetland Maps for Norfolk County, freshwater wetlands are mapped all around the I-495 and Route 1A ROWs (Figure 2). MassDEP classifies the wetlands to the southwest of the I-495/Route 1A intersection as open water (OW, located east of the electrical transmission line ROW) and deep marsh (DM, located west of the electrical transmission line ROW). Along the western side of Route 1A, in the southernmost portion of the survey area is a mapped OW pond. This pond has a hydrologic connection mapped running southward then eastward underneath Route 1A. Located along the southern portion of I-495, in the easternmost portion of the survey area is a mapped shrub swamp (SS) wetland. Located along the northern portion of I-495, in the easternmost portion of the survey area is a mapped DM wetland. Located northeast of the I-495 southbound exit ramp is a mapped SS wetland with a hydrologic connection continuing westward into a DM wetland.

Based on review of the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) map provided by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, freshwater wetlands are mapped within and surrounding the Site, with similar boundaries as the mapped MassDEP wetlands (Figure 3). The wetland located along the southern portion of I-495 and east of the electric transmission line is classified as palustrine unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded, diked/impounded (PUBHh). In a similar area, but to the west of the electrical transmission line is a mapped wetland characterized as palustrine unconsolidated bottom, semipermanently flooded, diked/impounded (PUBFh). Along the southernmost portion of the survey area, located along the western portion of Route 1A is a wetland mapped and characterized as PUBHh. Located along the easternmost portion of the survey area, along the southern portion of I-495 is a wetland mapped as palustrine scrub-shrub, broad-leaved deciduous, seasonally flooded/saturated (PSS1E) and palustrine forested, broad-leaved deciduous, seasonally flooded/saturated (PFO1E). The mapped wetland located directly north, along the northern portion of I-495 is characterized as palustrine unconsolidated bottom/emergent, persistent, semipermanently flooded, diked/impounded (PUB/EM1Fh). To the west of this wetland are two additional mapped wetlands, one characterized as PSS1E and the other PUB/EM1Fh.

The most recently issued Flood Insurance Rate Map¹ for the area, produced by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), indicates the Site is not mapped within a floodplain. The closest a mapped FEMA resource gets to the Site is approximately 92 feet west of the southwestern portion of Route 1A. This area is mapped as Zone X, which is classified as having a 0.2% annual chance flood hazard and is not located within the mapped floodplain for the 100-year flood event (**Figure 4**). Therefore, the Project is not anticipated to impact Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF) under the WPA.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal Emergency Management Agency, October 2017, National Hazard Flood Layer, Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map. Maps 25021C0336E and 25021C0337E. Effective 7/17/2012. Accessed July 9, 2019.



The Natural Resources Conservation Service<sup>2</sup> soil survey for Norfolk County has mapped most of the Site as Udorthents, sandy (653). For a full listing of the mapped soils within and surrounding the Site, please refer to **Figure 5**.

According to the most recently available data provided by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program<sup>3</sup>, no Priority Habitats of Rare Species or Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife are mapped within or adjacent to the Site (**Figure 6**). There are no Certified Vernal Pools within the Site. The closest Certified Vernal Pool (CVP 7774) is located approximately 210 feet north of the northern edge of the I-495 pavement. Another Certified Vernal Pool (CVP 7397) is located approximately 230 feet south of the southern edge of the I-495 pavement. There is one Potential Vernal Pools located within the Site. This Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29587) is in the westernmost portion of the Site just southwest of the I-495 northbound exit ramp. Another Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29588) is located just east of the electrical transmission line. One Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29596) is located approximately 134 feet east of CVP 7397. Another Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29599) is located directly on top of CVP 7774. The last Potential Vernal Pool (PVP 29600) is located approximately 390 feet north of the I-495 southbound exit ramp.

No portion of the Site is within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern<sup>4</sup>. According to MassDEP, the easternmost portion of the Site is within an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water<sup>5</sup> (ORW). This ORW is associated with Wading River, which is public water supply watershed of Taunton (PWS 4016000-05S3009000-01S). No portion of the Site is located within a Zone I or Interim Wellhead Protection Area<sup>6</sup>. The Site however is within a Zone II Wellhead Protection Area associated with the Wrentham Water Division (**Figure 7**).

#### 2.2 Field Delineation, Resource Areas and Buffer Zones

Jacobs Wetland Scientists delineated the Site on July 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2019 in accordance with methods developed by the MassDEP<sup>7</sup> and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers<sup>8</sup> (USACE). The wetland resource areas identified on or near the Site include Bank and BVW. These resource areas are defined under the WPA as follows:

- ➤ Bank: As defined at 310 CMR 10.54(2), a Bank is the portion of the land surface, which normally abuts and confines a water body. The upper boundary of Bank is the first observable break in slope.
- ➤ Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW): As defined in 310 CMR 10.55(2), Bordering Vegetated Wetlands are freshwater wetlands which border on creeks, rivers, streams, ponds and lakes. The types of freshwater wetlands are wet meadows, marshes, swamps and bogs. Bordering Vegetated Wetlands are areas where the soils are saturated and/or inundated such that they support a predominance of wetland indicator plants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, Aug. 2021. Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas. 15th Edition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> MassGIS (collaboration with DCR and CZM), Sept. 2017. Massachusetts ACECs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MassGIS, Dec. 2017. Designated Outstanding Resource Waters of Massachusetts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MassGIS, Oct. 2017. Approved Wellhead Protection Areas (Zone I and IWPAs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MassDEP, 1995. Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> USACE, 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region, Version 2.0.



A total of eight wetlands (two non-jurisdictional) and one waterbody were delineated within or adjacent to the Site. The wetlands and waterbody are depicted against an aerial background found within **Attachment A – Delineation Map**.

#### 2.2.1 Wetland 1

Wetland 1 was delineated in the western portion of the Site, just northeast of the Wrentham Village Premium Outlets parking lot. This wetland was observed to originate at a culvert pipe located between delineation flags W1-9 and W1-10. Wetland 1 was observed to be predominantly vegetated with silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), and creeping Jenny (*Lysimachia nummularia*). Hydrological indicators of wetland conditions included saturation, water table present at approximately 12-inches below grade, water marks, algal mat or crust, water-stained leaves and surface soil cracks. Soils were observed to be a silt loam with a 10YR 2/2 matrix color in the A-horizon (0-5") and a silty clay loam with a 10YR 4/2 matrix (80%) and 10YR 4/4 mottle (20%) color in the B-horizon (5-24"). Soils were observed to meet the depleted matrix (F3) hydric soil criteria.

#### 2.2.2 Wetland 2

Wetland 2 was delineated southwest of the I-495/Route 1A interchange, just north of the Bloomingdale's Outlet parking lot. This isolated wetland was observed to be contained within the delineation flags. Wetland 2 was observed to be predominantly vegetated with swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*), American elm (*Ulmus Americana*), and sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*). Hydrological indicators of wetland conditions included inundation of approximately two-inches, high water table, saturation, sparsely vegetated concave surface, water-stained leaves and stunted or stressed plants. Soils were observed to be a silt loam with a 10YR 3/1 matrix color in the A-horizon (0-4") and a silty clay loam with a 10YR 4/1 matrix (70%) and a 10YR 4/4 mottle (30%) color in the B-horizon (4-24"). Soils were observed to meet the depleted matrix (F3) hydric soil criteria. NHESP has mapped the southeastern corner of Wetland 2 as a PVP and during the field delineation, signs of a vernal pool were observed in this area including forested wetland with potential habitat for vernal pool species and no outlet to other features/stagnant surface inundation. East of Wetland 2 was the observed detention basin for the Wrentham Village Premium Outlets, which had a five-foot-high fence surrounding the entire detention basin. No connection between the two features was observed.

#### 2.2.3 Wetland 3

Wetland 3 was delineated as an open water/ponded feature located just south of the driveway to PW Preseton industrial facility, located off the western shoulder of Route 1A. The mean annual high water (MAHW) line of the pond was delineated with flagging labeled as W3-1 through W3-10. At delineation flag W3-9, an inlet to a 24-inch ductile iron culvert was observed to drain southward, connecting with Intermittent Stream 1 at delineation flag IS1-1.

#### 2.2.4 Wetland 4

Wetland 4 was delineated in the eastern portion of the Site. This wetland was observed to continue both eastward, as well as southward from the delineated boundaries. Wetland 4 was observed to be predominantly vegetated with swamp white oak, red osier dogwood (*Cornus sericia*), and cattails (*Typha angustifolia*). Hydrological indicators of wetland conditions included inundation of approximately two-inches, high water table, saturation, sediment deposits, hydrogen sulfide odor, thin muck surface and drainage patterns. Soils were observed to be an organic silt with a 10YR2/1 matrix color in the A-horizon (0-6") and a silty clay loam with a 10YR 3/2 matrix (80%) and 10YR 3/4 mottle (20%) color in the B-horizon (6-24"). Soils were observed to meet both the hydrogen sulfide (A4) and thin dark surface (S9) hydric soil criteria. Wetland 4 was also observed to contain a mapped CVP and PVP located just east of the Project boundaries, but still within the same wetland complex.



#### 2.2.5 Wetland 5

Wetland 5 was delineated northeast of the I-495 southbound exit ramp. This wetland was observed to contain a mapped PVP. The eastern portion of the wetland was observed to contain a small, less than 40-foot in diameter inundated depression area/pool that was shaded by larger tree species (greater than 8-inch diameter at breast height). The western portion of the wetland was observed to be more flatly sloped, saturated, and with little shading from the larger hydrophytic tree species. Two data points were taken within the differentiating areas of the same wetland; data point 5 (eastern portion) and data point 6 (western portion). Wetland 5 was observed to be predominately vegetated with silver maple, red maple (*Acer rubrum*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), and jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*). Hydrological indicators of wetland conditions included high water table, saturation, inundation visible on aerial imagery, water-stained leaves and stunted or stressed plants. Soils in the eastern portion of the wetland were observed to meet the dark surface (S7) criteria, while the western portion were observed to meet the depleted matrix (F3) criteria.

#### 2.2.6 Wetland 6

Wetland 6 was delineated northeast of the eastern portion of the Site and was observed to be a depression area (less than 12,000 square feet in size) located at the toe of a rock outcropping. This wetland was observed to contain a mapped CVP and PVP, but no inundation was observed within this wetland during the time of delineation. Wetland 6 was observed to be predominantly vegetated with silver maple, green ash and sensitive fern. Hydrological indicators of wetland conditions included saturation present at a depth of approximately eight-inches below grade, inundation visible on aerial imagery, water-stained leaves and surface soil cracks. Soils were observed to be a silty clay loam with a 10YR 2/2 matrix color in the A-horizon (0-6") and a silty clay loam with a 10YR 4/2 (90%) and 10YR 4/4 mottle (10%) color in the B-horizon (6-24"). Soils were observed to meet the depleted matrix (F3) hydric soil criteria.

#### 2.2.7 Intermittent Stream 1

Intermittent Stream 1 was delineated south of Wetland 3, originating from the outlet of the 24-inch ductile iron culvert pipe and continuing southward then eastward underneath Route 1A. Intermittent Stream 1 was observed to enter a separate 24-inch ductile iron culvert pipe that crosses underneath Route 1A at delineation flag IS1-19 and outlets east of Route 1A at delineation flag IS1-20; the stream was then observed to extend northeastward outside the Project limits. The eastern/northern Bank of Intermittent Stream 1 was delineated, as the Project limits are located northeast/north of the stream. The western/southern Bank was not delineated, as this polyline was observed to be well outside the Project limits and the resource areas (i.e. Riverfront Area) extend into the Site from the eastern Bank and not the western. Intermittent Stream 1 was observed to vary in width with most of the stream (the portion located west of Route 1A) observed to be approximately three feet in width; the east side of Route 1A was observed to vary between 8-12 feet in width. During the time of delineation, no surfical flow was observed within the stream, but indicators of inundation were observed and the MAHW was flagged and surveyed appropriately as Bank.

#### 2.2.8 Isolated Wetland 1

Isolated Wetland 1 was delineated as a non-jurisdictional resource area located in the median of I-495, north of the northbound off-ramp. This wetland was observed to originate from the outlet of a 12-inch culvert pipe located at delineation flag IS1-5. This wetland was not observed to extend further southward from the boundaries.

#### 2.2.9 Isolated Wetland 2

Isolated Wetland 2 or also known as a portion of the "Naturalized Area – Do Not Mow" was delineated as a non-jurisdictional resource area which was also located in the median of I-495, north of the northbound off-

# **Jacobs**

ramp. This area was observed to show indicators of a wetland; hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils. Isolated Wetland 2 is located directly adjacent (to the west) of the stormwater detention basin. The stormwater detention basin was observed to be collecting surface runoff from both the pavements of Route 1A and the I-495 northbound off-ramp. From the stormwater detention basin, surface water is anticipated to continue westward through a rip-rap overflow spillway into the area designated as Isolated Wetland 2 and surveyed along the wetland/upland boundary. As seen in the Photographic Log, Isolated Wetland 2 was observed to be predominantly vegetated with cattails. Both Isolated Wetland 1 and 2 are non-jurisdictional features, as they were created by the construction of the roadways. However, both areas present opportunities for enhancement and/or mitigation if other delineated resources within and adjacent to the Site are disturbed by the Project.


Under 310 CMR 10.02(2)(c), these non-jurisdictional areas were created with the construction of the stormwater bioretention basins. Their creation within the southwest "cloverleaf" of the intersection were to collect stormwater runoff from the surrounding impervious areas/roadways. The stormwater basins just so happen to meet the tertiary criteria that is typical of a wetlands area (hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils). These areas have no hydrological connection (or significant nexus) to a waterbody, do not meet the definitions of BVW or Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) and more importantly, these areas were man-made by the creation and construction of the stormwater basins. In accordance with 310 CMR 10.55(2)(a) for BVW definition "BVWs are freshwater wetlands which border on creeks, rivers, streams, ponds and lakes." These last two features do not.


#### 2.3 100-foot Buffer Zones and Riverfront Area


The WPA establishes 100-foot buffer zones extending from resources, including BVW. The WPA states that any activities that are undertaken within 100 feet of an area specified in 310 CMR 10.02(1)(a) (e.g. BVW) will be conducted per 310 CMR 10.02(2)(b), "in a manner so as to reduce the potential for any adverse impacts to the resource area during construction, and with post-construction measures implemented to stabilize any disturbed areas."





Figures (Previously Included in Figures Section of RDA)














# Attachment A – Delineation Map








MASSDOT HIGHWAY - ROUTE I-495/ROUTE 1A RAMPS WRENTHAM, NORFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS

1,250 1 inch = 192 feet







# **Attachment B – USACE Data Forms**

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps City/County: Wrentham/ Norfolk County Sampling Date: 7/16/19								
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT State: MA Sampling Point: DP1								
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz Section, Township, Range: Town of Wrentham								
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 8-15								
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):         LRR R         Lat: 42.0403         Long: -71.3490         Datum: UTM 19T								
Soil Map Unit Name: 422C Canton fine sandy loam, 8-15% slopes, extremely stony  NWI classification: PUB Fh								
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?  Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)								
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No								
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area								
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No within a Wetland? Yes X No No								
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland 1								
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) DP1 taken approximatley 20 feet south of deliniation flag W1-11. Ductile iron culvert pipe observed between deliniation flags W1-9 and W1-10 (draining into wetland). Wetland observed to be ponded with greater than 2' of surface water in central portion. Area mapped by NHESP as a PVP with canopy cover, no waterbody outlet and inundation potentialy capable of inhabiting vernal pool species. Field visits performed outside beginning of growing season but conservatively labeled as vernal pool.								
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)								
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)  X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)								
Surface Water (A1)  X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)  Drainage Patterns (B10)								
High Water Table (A2)  Aquatic Fauna (B13)  Moss Trim Lines (B16)								
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)								
X Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)								
Sediment Deposits (B2)  Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)  Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)								
Drift Deposits (B3)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)								
X Algal Mat or Crust (B4)  Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)  Geomorphic Position (D2)  This Must Surface (C7)								
Iron Deposits (B5)  Thin Muck Surface (C7)  Shallow Aquitard (D3)  Other (Fig. 1): 1. Page 1.								
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)								
X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)  X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)								
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present? Yes No _X Depth (inches):								
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): ~12								
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): - Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No								
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:								
Remarks:								

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	1	Point: DP	1	
<u>ree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 30 )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
. Acer saccharinum	60	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species			
2. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	No	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	(A)	
3. Acer rubrum	5	No No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)	
·				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)	
·	. <u> </u>			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	75	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by	:	
apling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15	)			OBL species	x 1 =		
Cornus amomum	30	Yes	FACW	FACW species	x 2 =		
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	5	No	FACW	FAC species	x 3 =		
				FACU species	x 4 =		
				UPL species	x 5 =		
	· ·			Column Totals:	(A)	(B	
				Prevalence Index = B/A			
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic	ators:		
		=Total Cover		X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydroph	ytic Vegetation		
erb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50	%		
Lysimachia nummularia	35	Yes	FACW	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3	.0 <sup>1</sup>		
Polystichum acrostichoides	5	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptati	4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supportin		
Onoclea sensibilis	5	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
				Problematic Hydrophytic V	egetation¹ (Expl	ain)	
				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and we	atland hydrology	muet h	
				present, unless disturbed or pre		must b	
				Definitions of Vegetation Stra	ata:		
	·			<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 at breast height (DBH), regardl		liamete	
0. 1.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants greater than or equal to 3.28 ft		OBH an	
2.		=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-wood of size, and woody plants less		ardless	
Voody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	<u>45</u>	- I Olai Covei		Woody vines – All woody vine		.28 ft in	
·				height.	<del>-</del>		
·				1			
·				Hydrophytic Vegetation			
				Present? Yes X	No		
		=Total Cover					

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP1

Profile De	escription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docum	nent the	indicator	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-5	10YR 2/2	100						Silt Loam		
5-24	10YR 4/2	80	10YR 4/4	20	D	М		Silty Clay Loam		
	=Concentration, D=Dep	etion, RN	//⊒Reduced Matrix, CS	=Cover	ed or Coat	ed Sand (		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
-	oil Indicators:				·· /			or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
	sol (A1)			Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,				uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)					rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (I	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>3</b> )5 cm Mu	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)		ie Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky Mi	neral (F	1) (LRR K	, L)	Thin Dar	rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Deple	eted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	latrix (F2	2)			nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
	Dark Surface (A12)	•	X Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (					nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf		1			podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
				Depleted Dark Surface (F7)  Red Parent Material (F21)						
	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)							,		
	y Redox (S5)			Redox Depressions (F8)				allow Dark Surface (TF12)		
	ped Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K</b> , <b>L</b> )			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark	Surface (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and v	vetland hydrology mus	t be pre	sent, unles	ss disturbe	ed or problematic.			
	ve Layer (if observed):		, ,,				<u> </u>			
Type:										
Depth (i	inches):		<u> </u>				Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks:							+			
								S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version		
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	vw.nrcs.us	sda.gov/Internet/FSL_I	DOCUM	IENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	)51293.docx)			

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps City/County: Wrentham/ Norfolk County Sampling Date: 7/16/19
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT State: MA Sampling Point: DP2
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz Section, Township, Range: Town of Wrentham
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 8-15
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 42.0392 Long: -71.3482 Datum: UTM 19T
Soil Map Unit Name: 422C Canton fine sandy loam, 8-15% slopes, extremely stony  NWI classification: PUB Hh
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?  Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? YesX No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No within a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland 2
Data point taken ~5' southeast of deliniation flag W2-9. Isolated wetland not assumed to extend beyond deliniation borders. NHESP has mapped area as PVP. Area alongside eastern portion of wetland has observable shade from trees, fallen timber, and inundation potentially capable of supporting vernal pool species.
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:  Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)  Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
X Surface Water (A1)  X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)  Drainage Patterns (B10)  Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)  Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)  Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
X Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)  Thin Muck Surface (C7)  Shallow Aquitard (D3)
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)  X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): ~2
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): -
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): - Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

lants.			Sampling Po	oint: DP2	<u>-</u>
Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
55	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species		
10	No	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	_(A)
			Total Number of Dominant		
-			Species Across All Strata:	3	_(B)
			Percent of Dominant Species	100.00/	/ A /F
				100.0%	(A/E
	=Total Cover			Multiply by:	
)			<del></del>		_
30	Yes	FACW			
5	No	FACW			
			UPL species	· 5 =	
_			Column Totals: (	A)	(I
- · ·			Prevalence Index = B/A =		
			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica	tors:	
35	=Total Cover		X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophy	tic Vegetation	
			X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	, 0	
15	Yes	FACW	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	1	
					portir
			Problematic Hydrophytic Ve	getation <sup>1</sup> (Expla	iin)
					must l
			Definitions of Vegetation Strat	a:	
					iamet
			Sapling/shrub – Woody plants I	ess than 3 in. D	BH a
-			greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (	1 m) tall.	
15	=Total Cover				ardles
)			Woody vines – All woody vines height.	greater than 3.2	28 ft ii
			noignt.		
<u> </u>			rioigni.		
			Hydrophytic		
				No_	
	% Cover 55 10	% Cover         Species?           55         Yes           10         No	% Cover         Species?         Status           55         Yes         FACW           10         No         FACW           65         =Total Cover           30         Yes         FACW           5         No         FACW           35         =Total Cover           15         Yes         FACW	March   Species   Status   S	Scover   Species?   Status   FACW

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP2

Profile De	escription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	nent the	indicator	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox	k Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-4	10YR 3/1	100						Silt loam		
4-24	10YR 4/1	70	10YR 4/4	30	D	M		Silty clay loam		
1							2.			
	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
-	oil Indicators:		D. L. J. D. L.	0 (	(00) /I DI			or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,					ick (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
	Epipedon (A2)		,	MLRA 149B)				rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (I	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>3</b> )5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Polyvalu	ie Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Dar	rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M			,		nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
	Dark Surface (A12)	0 (, )	X Depleted Matrix (F3)					nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)					podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
Sandy	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	<del>-</del> 7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)		
Sandy	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depressions (F8)				Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (TF12)		
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )				Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark	Surface (S7)		<u> </u>				<del></del> ·			
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	vetland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent. unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.			
	e Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes X No No		
Remarks:							<u>-</u>			
								S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version		
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	w.nrcs.us	da.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	IENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	)51293.docx)			

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps City/County: Wrentham/ Norfolk County Sampling Date: 7/16/19
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT State: MA Sampling Point: DP3
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz Section, Township, Range: Town of Wrentham
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): lowpoint between steep hillsides Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-15
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):         LRR R         Lat: 42.0388         Long: -71.3380         Datum:         UTM 19T
Soil Map Unit Name: 104C Hollis-Rock outcrop-Charlton complex, 0-15% slopes NWI classification: PSS1E
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?  Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No within a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland 4
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)  Data point taken southeast of deliniation flag W4-3. Wetland observed to continue both east from deliniation flag W4-1 and southeast from deliniation flag W4-10. Wetland located in low topography between two steep (>15% slope) hillsides.
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:  Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)  X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
X Surface Water (A1) X Water-Stained Leaves (B9) X Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
X Water Marks (B1) X Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
X Sediment Deposits (B2)  Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)  X Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)  Processes of Reduced Iron (C4)  X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D4)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)  X Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
X Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)  Iron Deposits (B5) X Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)  Other (Explain in Remarks)  Microtopographic Relief (D4)
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) — Other (Explain in Remarks) — Microtopographic Relief (D4)  X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) — X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): ~2
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): -
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): - Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

	Species? Yes	Status FACW	Dominance Test worksheet:  Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:  Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3 3	_(A)
		FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:  Total Number of Dominant		_(A)
	·			3	
			Species Across All Strata.	ა	(B)
	·		i		— <sup>(D)</sup>
			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/E
	·		Prevalence Index worksheet:	<del></del>	<u> </u>
30	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
	п		OBL species	x 1 =	
15	Yes	FACW	-	_	
				-	
					—
			-		—
					(I
	-				
15	=Total Cover		<del></del>	•	
			X 2 - Dominance Test is >50	1%	
60	Yes	OBL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3	.0 <sup>1</sup>	
10	No	OBL			portir
10	No	data in Remarks or on a	i a separate sneet)		
5	No	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
					must
	·				
					amet
	·				BH a
			Herb – All herbaceous (non-wo	oody) plants, rega	ardles
85	=Total Cover				
			Woody vines – All woody vine	s greater than 3.2	28 ft ii
			height.		
				( No	
	=Total Cover				
	=Total Cover		<u> </u>		
	15 60 10 10 5	15 =Total Cover  60 Yes  10 No  10 No  5 No  85 =Total Cover	15 =Total Cover  60 Yes OBL 10 No OBL 5 No OBL  85 =Total Cover  =Total Cover	FAC species FACU species UPL species Column Totals: Prevalence Index = B/A Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydroph X 2 - Dominance Test is >50 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3 10 No OBL 10 No OBL 5 No OBL Problematic Hydrophytic V  Indicators of hydric soil and we present, unless disturbed or	FAC species x3 = FACU species x4 = UPL species x5 = Column Totals: (A)  Prevalence Index = B/A = Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  15 = Total Cover    15

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-6	10YR 2/1	100						Organic silt		
6-24	10YR 3/2	80	10YR 3/4	20	D	М		Silty clay loam		
	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	/I=Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
_	oil Indicators:							or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LR</b> F	RR,		ick (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Pi	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black	Histic (A3)		X Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) ( <b>I</b>	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>3</b> )5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
X Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S11	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Polyvalu	e Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Dar	k Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Deple	eted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix (F3)				Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)			
Sand	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)	ı		Mesic Spodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )			
Sand	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	<del>-</del> 7)		Red Parent Material (F21)			
	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression	ons (F8)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Stripp	ped Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	K, L)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark	Surface (S7)		<del></del>							
	,									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and v	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.			
	e Layer (if observed):		, ,				·			
Type:										
_	inches):						Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes X No		
,	· ·						Tryano con Tr	<u> </u>		
Remarks:		414	l and Nambaad Davia			: 0 0	4	C Field lediesters of the drie Ceile consiss		
	2013 Errata. (http://ww							S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version		
7.0 Maion	2010 Errata. (http://ww	vw.mcs.uc	sua.gov/internet/i oc_	DOOOIVI	LIVIO/IIIO	3142p2_0	001200.docx)			

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrenth	nam: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps	City/County: Wrentham/ No	rfolk County	Sampling Date: 7/17/19
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT			State: N	MA Sampling Point: DP4
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jes	sica Rebholz	Section, Township, Range:	Town of Wrentham	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Depression	Local relief (concave, convex,	none): Concave	Slope (%): 3-8
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	R R Lat: 42.0397	Long: -	71.3468	Datum: UTM 19T
Soil Map Unit Name: 254B Merri			NWI classifica	
	ns on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No		
, ,	, or Hydrologysignifican		Circumstances" prese	
<u> </u>			·	
	, or Hydrologynaturally  6 - Attach site map showing		explain any answers in ons, transects, in	•
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present	t? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No	within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No	If yes, optional Wetland		
Isolated Wetland 1 observed to 495. Wetland observed to meet IS1-5. Wetlandnot observed to o	procedures here or in a separate repo be formed within the perviously distur all 3 criteria, but nonjurisdictional as a drain outside deliniated boundaries.	rbed/man-made vegetated area		-
HYDROLOGY				
Wetland Hydrology Indicators	<b>:</b>		Secondary Indicate	ors (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of	one is required; check all that apply)		Surface Soil C	
Surface Water (A1)	X Water-Stained	, ,	Drainage Patt	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna	, ,	Moss Trim Lin	
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits	, ,		Vater Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	<del></del> · ·	lfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burro	, ,
Sediment Deposits (B2)		zospheres on Living Roots (C3)	<i></i>	sible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Reduced Iron (C4)		ressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic F	, ,
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Su	, ,	Shallow Aquit	• •
Inundation Visible on Aerial		n in Remarks)		phic Relief (D4)
X Sparsely Vegetated Concav	ve Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral 1	Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X Depth (inche	es):		
Water Table Present?	Yes No X Depth (inche	es):		
Saturation Present?	Yes X No Depth (inche	es): 8 Wetland I	Hydrology Present?	Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (strear	m gauge, monitoring well, aerial photo	os, previous inspections), if ava	ıilable:	
Remarks:				

Free Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
·				Number of Dominant Species		
·				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
				opedies / toross / tir otrata.		(B)
-				Percent of Dominant Species		
·				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:		6 (A/E
·				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply	by:
apling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:15	)			OBL species	x 1 =	
Cornus sericea	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species	x 2 =	
Cornus amomum	5	No	FACW		x 3 =	
				FACU species	x 4 =	
				UPL species	x 5 =	
				· —	-	
				Column Totals:	(A)	(E
				Prevalence Index = B/A	\ = 	
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic	ators:	
	30	=Total Cover		X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydroph	ytic Vegetatio	n
erb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50	%	
Leersia oryzoides	75	Yes	OBL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.	.0 <sup>1</sup>	
Onoclea sensibilis	10	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide suppo		
			FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
			FACW	<ul> <li>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation<sup>1</sup> (Expla</li> </ul>		
					-9(	,
				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and we		gy must l
·				present, unless disturbed or pro		
·	· ——			Definitions of Vegetation Stra	ata:	
· .				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6	cm) or more i	n diamete
·				at breast height (DBH), regardl	ess of height.	
D				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants	s less than 3 in	n DRH ai
1.				greater than or equal to 3.28 ft		i. DDIT ai
2.						
	90	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-wood of size, and woody plants less		
oody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	<u> </u>	- Total Govel		or size, and woody plants less	111a11 5.20 It ta	
, ,	)			Woody vines – All woody vine	s greater than	3.28 ft ir
				height.		
				Ludranhutia		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation		
				Present? Yes X	No	
		=Total Cover				

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP4

Profile De	escription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	nent the	indicato	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Feature	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 3/1	100						Organic silt
6-24	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 5/2	10	D	М		Silty clay loam
					<u> </u>			
							<del></del>	
								-
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	/I=Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (	Grains. <sup>2</sup> Lo	cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	il Indicators:						Indicators fo	or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	RR,	2 cm Mu	ick (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Pi	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) ( <b>I</b>	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>3</b> )5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)		e Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Dar	k Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	latrix (F2	2)		Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		X Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
Sandy	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)	1		Mesic S <sub>l</sub>	podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)
Sandy	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression				Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (TF12)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark	Surface (S7)							
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and v	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed):	:						
Type:								
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes X No
Remarks:							<u> </u>	
	form is revised from No	orthcentra	and Northeast Regio	nal Supp	lement Ve	ersion 2.0	to reflect the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version
	2013 Errata. (http://wv							,

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps City/C	County: Wrentham/ Norfolk County Sampling Date: 7/17/19
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT	State: MA Sampling Point: DP5
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz Sectio	n, Township, Range: Town of Wrentham
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toe of large rock outcrops and ledge Local rel	ief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-15
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 42.0410	Long: -71.3401 Datum: UTM 19T
Soil Map Unit Name: 104C Hollis-Rock outcrop-Charlton complex, 0-15% slop	NWI classification: PSS1E
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly distur	rbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problems	atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sample	ling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is	the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No w	ithin a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If	yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland 5
Data point taken 5 feet north of deliniation flag W5-5. Wetland observed to co contain mapped PVP with inundation, no waterbody outlet, shading, and pote	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)  X Water-Stained Leaves	<u> </u>
X High Water Table (A2)  Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3)Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odo	<u> </u>
Sediment Deposits (B2)  — Oxidized Rhizosphere  — Presence of Reduced	
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced	<u> </u>
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)  Iron Deposits (B5)  Recent Iron Reduction Thin Muck Surface (C	
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)  Other (Explain in Rem	
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Rem X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
	TAC-IVEULIAL TEST (DO)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):  Water Table Present? Yes Y No X Depth (inches):	44
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Voc. Y. No.
	~8 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)  Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previo	
Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, provid	ous inspections), il avaliable.
Remarks:	
Tomano.	

Obj. 1 (DL 1 :- 00 )	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	B T J. l		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
. Acer saccharinum  . Acer rubrum	<u>55</u> 40	Yes Yes	FACW FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: 3	(A)
3. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	No	FACW	mat Ale Obl., FACW, of FAC		(A)
. Praxinus perinsyrvanica			PACW	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
						_` ` ′
				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: 100.0%	(A/E
·				Prevalence Index worksheet	:	
	105	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by	<u>:</u>
apling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15	)			OBL species	x 1 =	
				FACW species	x 2 =	
				FAC species	x 3 =	
				FACU species	x 4 =	
				UPL species	x 5 =	
				Column Totals:	(A)	(I
				Prevalence Index = B/	A =	
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:	
		=Total Cover		X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrop	hytic Vegetation	
erb Stratum (Plot size:5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >5	0%	
Impatiens capensis	40	Yes	FACW	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3	3.0 <sup>1</sup>	
Onoclea sensibilis	5	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide suppor data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
Leersia oryzoides	5	No	OBL			
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Ex		ain)
				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and w	retland hydrology	must
				present, unless disturbed or pr		
				Definitions of Vegetation Str	ata:	
				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6	cm) or more in o	diamet
				at breast height (DBH), regard		
·				Sapling/shrub – Woody plant	s less than 3 in.	DBH a
·	_			greater than or equal to 3.28 f		
				<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-w	oodv) plants. red	ardles
	50	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less		
oody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	_)			Woody vines – All woody vine	es greater than 3	.28 ft ii
				height.		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation		
				Present? Yes	< No	
		=Total Cover				

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP5

Profile De	scription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to docur	nent the	indicator	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR 2/1	100						Organic silt
4-24	10YR 3/1	70	10YR 3/3	30	D	М		organic silt
	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
-	il Indicators:							or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	RR,		ck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Pr	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (I	LRR R, MI	_RA 149E	<b>3</b> ) 5 cm Mu	cky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
—— Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Polyvalu	e Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	ied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M				Thin Dar	k Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (Δ11)	Loamy Gleyed M			, –,		nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
		<i>(</i> ( <i>(</i> ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )			-)			
	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix					at Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)				podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	<del>-</del> 7)		Red Pare	ent Material (F21)
Sandy	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression	ons (F8)			Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (TF12)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K</b> , <b>L</b> )			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
X Dark	Surface (S7)						<u> </u>	
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and w	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.	
	e Layer (if observed)		,					
Туре:								
Depth (i							Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes X No No
Remarks:							-	
								S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://wv	vw.nrcs.us	da.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	IENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	)51293.docx)	

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of I-495/1A Ramps City/County: Wrentham/ Norfolk County Sampling Date: 7/17/19								
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT State: MA Sampling Point: DP6								
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz Section, Township, Range: Town of Wrentham								
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-15								
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):         LRR R         Lat: 42.0413         Long: -71.3414         Datum:         UTM 19T								
Soil Map Unit Name: 104C Hollis-Rock outcrop-Charlton complex, 0-15% slopes NWI classification: PUB/EE2FH								
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?  Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)								
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No								
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area								
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No within a Wetland? Yes X No								
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Wetland 5								
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)  Data point taken 10 feet north from deliniation flag W5-25. Wetland observed to connect to mapped PVP to the east (DP5). Area mapped as PVP and observed to have shade, no waterbody outlet, and decaying/fallen timber to be suitable for vernal pool species.								
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:  Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)								
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)  Surface Soil Cracks (B6)								
Surface Water (A1)  X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)  Drainage Patterns (B10)								
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)								
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)								
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)								
Sediment Deposits (B2)  Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)  Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)								
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)								
X Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)								
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)								
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)								
X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)  X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)								
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present? Yes No _X Depth (inches):								
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): ~16								
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): ~12 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No								
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:								
Remarks:								

Ctt (DI-t -i 20 )	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator			
ree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
. Acer saccharinum	60	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species		
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	55	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	4	(A)
. Quercus bicolor	15	No	FACW	Total Number of Dominant		
	_			Species Across All Strata:	4	_(B)
· .				Percent of Dominant Species		
				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	_(A/B)
·				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	130	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
apling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:15	_)			· —	< 1 =	
Cornus sericea	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species	(2 =	
Cornus amomum	20	Yes	FACW	FAC species	< 3 =	
·				FACU species	< 4 =	
·				UPL species	< 5 =	
	_			Column Totals:	(A)	(B)
·				Prevalence Index = B/A	=	
· <u></u>	_			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica	itors:	
	45	=Total Cover		X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophy	tic Vegetation	
lerb Stratum (Plot size:5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	6	
	_			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	)1	
				4 - Morphological Adaptatio		porting
				Problematic Hydrophytic Ve	egetation <sup>1</sup> (Expla	ain)
i i				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and we present, unless disturbed or pro		must be
				Definitions of Vegetation Stra	ta:	
·				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 c at breast height (DBH), regardle		iameter
0.						NDI I
1.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (		вн and
2				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woo		ardless
		=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less th	an 3.28 ft tall.	
Voody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	_)			Woody vines – All woody vines height.	greater than 3.	28 ft in
				Hydrophytic		
2 3.				Vegetation		
). 				Present? Yes X	No	

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP6

Profile De	scription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	nent the	indicato	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-7	10YR 3/2	100						Silty clay loam		
7-24	10YR 4/1	90	10YR 4/3	10	D	M		Silty clay loam		
	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	/I=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
-	il Indicators:							or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LR</b> F	RR,	2 cm Mu	ck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Pi	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) (I	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>B</b> ) 5 cm Mu	cky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Polyvalu	e Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
	ied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M					k Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
		o (A11)				, –,				
	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (ATT)	Loamy Gleyed M		<u>2)</u>		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)			
	Dark Surface (A12)		X Depleted Matrix				Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)			
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)	)		Mesic Spodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )			
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	<del>-</del> 7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)		
Sandy	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression	ons (F8)			Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (TF12)		
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)		
	Surface (S7)			, ,				,		
	, ,									
	of hydrophytic vegeta e Layer (if observed):		vetland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	ss disturbe	ed or problematic.			
Type:	e Layer (ii observed)									
Depth (i							Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes X No No		
Remarks:							•			
								S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version		
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://wv	w.nrcs.us	sda.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	IENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	051293.docx)			

Project/Site: MassDOT Wrentham: Construction of	-495/1A Ramps City/County: W	rentham/ Norfolk County	Sampling Date: <u>7/17/19</u>
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT		State:	MA Sampling Point: DP7
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, Jessica Rebholz	Section, Towns	hip, Range: Town of Wrenthan	n
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression	Local relief (conca	ave, convex, none): Concave	Slope (%): 0-15
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R La	at: 42.0401	Long: -71.3373	Datum: UTM 19T
Soil Map Unit Name: 104C Hollis-Rock outcrop-Charl		<del></del>	fication: PUB/EM1Fh
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	·	X No (If no, explain	
	•	Are "Normal Circumstances" pre	,
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology	<u> </u>	·	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology		(If needed, explain any answers int locations, transects,	,
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X	No Is the Sam	unlad Araa	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X		•	No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X	_ <u> </u>	onal Wetland Site ID: Wetland	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in Data point taken approximately 5 feet north from deli	a separate report.)		
HYDROLOGY		0 1 1 1 5	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; chec		X_Surface So	
<u> </u>	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		atterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	<del></del> •	n Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Bu	
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livin	· · · · —	Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled		c Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aq	, ,
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	<u> </u>	raphic Relief (D4)
X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		X FAC-Neutra	al Test (D5)
Field Observations:		j.	
Surface Water Present? Yes No _X	Depth (inches):	İ	
Water Table Present? Yes No _X	Depth (inches):	j.	
Saturation Present? Yes X No	Depth (inches): ~8	Wetland Hydrology Present	t? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if available:	
Remarks:			

m (Plot size: 30 ) % Cover Species? Status	s Dominance Test worksheet:
Im         (Plot size:         30         )         % Cover         Species?         Status           accharinum         55         Yes         FACV	<del></del>
us pennsylvanica 50 Yes FACV	Number of Dominant Species
ubrum 15 No FAC	<del></del> '`
	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
	Opecies Across All Strata.
	<ul> <li>Percent of Dominant Species</li> <li>That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B</li> </ul>
	Prevalence Index worksheet:
120 =Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
rub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )	OBL species x 1 =
	FACW species x 2 =
	FAC species x 3 =
	FACU species x 4 =
	IIDI enecies v.5 -
	Column Totals: (A) (E
	Prevalence Index = B/A =
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
=Total Cover	X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
<u></u> <u>um</u> (Plot size: 5 )	X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
ea sensibilis 20 Yes FACV	V 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
ens capensis 2 No FACV	<del>-  </del>
	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be a solution.
	present, unless disturbed or problematic.
	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diamete at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
	Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH ar greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
22 =Total Cover	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u> </u>	Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	height.
	Hydrophytic
	Vegetation     Present?     Yes X No
=Total Cover	-
<del></del>	I
=Total Cover (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)	

**SOIL** Sampling Point: DP7

Profile De	escription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	nent the	indicato	or confi	rm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 2/2	100						Silty clay loam
6-24	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 4/4	10	D	М		Silty clay loam
							<del></del>	
	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (		cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	oil Indicators:							or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	RR,	2 cm Mu	ck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)					rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) ( <b>I</b>	LRR R, M	LRA 149E	3)5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S1	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Polyvalu	e Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Stratif	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Dar	k Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	1atrix (F2	2)		Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		X Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
Sandy	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)	1		Mesic S <sub>l</sub>	oodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)
Sandy	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression	ons (F8)			Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (TF12)
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	<b>K</b> , <b>L</b> )			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark	Surface (S7)						<del></del>	
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed):							
Type:								
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pro	esent? Yes X No
, ,							.,	
Remarks:	form is revised from No	rthcontro	and Northaast Pagia	nal Supp	Jomont V	rcion 2 0	to reflect the NDC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version
	2013 Errata. (http://ww							3 Field indicators of Frydric Solls Version
	2010 211 atai (111pii/1111					opo		

Project/Site: MassDOT Wren	ntham: Construction of I-4	l95/1A Ramps C	ity/County: Wrentham/ N	orfolk County	Sampling Date: 7/16/19			
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT				State:	MA Sampling Point: UPDP1			
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, J	essica Rebholz	Se	ection, Township, Range	: Town of Wrenthar	 m			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc	.): Hillside	Loca	al relief (concave, conve	x, none): None	Slope (%): 8-15			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LF	RR R Lat:	42.0404		-71.3489	Datum: UTM 19T			
Soil Map Unit Name: 422C Ca	_				fication: N/A			
Are climatic / hydrologic condit			-		n in Remarks.)			
	•	_			,			
Are Vegetation, Soil				nal Circumstances" pr				
	, or Hydrology			, explain any answers	•			
SUMMARY OF FINDING	3S – Attach site ma	ıp showing saı	mpling point locat	ions, transects,	important features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland?	Yes	No X			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional Wetlar	nd Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative Upland data point taken ~8' n	•	,	ert pipe and I-495. Not a	wetland.				
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:			Secondary India	cators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; check	all that apply)		Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Lea	` '		Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)	<u>—</u>	Aquatic Fauna (B		Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B1	•	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide		Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		•	heres on Living Roots (C					
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Redu	, ,	,				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface	duction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)  ace (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (R7)	Other (Explain in F			Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Cond	• , , <u> </u>	Other (Explain III .	Aemarks)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:	24VC Cultude (25)				ai 1031 (50)			
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):		d Hydrology Present	t? Yes No X			
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitoring we	ell, aerial photos, p	revious inspections), if a	ıvailable:				
Remarks:								

ree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Quercus alba	50	Yes	FACU	No. 1 or 1D or 1 or 10 or 1		
Acer saccharum	40	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	_(B)
·				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	_ (A/E
·				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	90	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: M	lultiply by:	
apling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15	_)			OBL species0 x 1 =	0	
Pinus strobus	25	Yes	FACU	FACW species 0 x 2 =	0	
				FAC species 0 x 3 =	0	
				FACU species 115 x 4 =	460	
				UPL species 0 x 5 =	0	
				Column Totals: 115 (A)	460	(I
				Prevalence Index = B/A =	4.00	
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
	25	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Ve	egetation	
erb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
				4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (P	rovide sup	portir
				data in Remarks or on a separa	ate sheet)	
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion¹ (Expla	in)
				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland I present, unless disturbed or problema		must
				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or at breast height (DBH), regardless of		amet
). 				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less the greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) to	han 3 in. D	BH a
2.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) p		ırdles
		=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.		14100
/oody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	<del>-</del>			Woody vines – All woody vines great height.	er than 3.2	?8 ft ir
				Hydrophytic Vegetation		
				=	No_X	
·		=Total Cover				

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP1

	escription: (Describe	to the de		<b>nent the</b> x Feature		r or confi	rm the absence of	f indicators.	.)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% realure	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks	
0-8	10YR 4/4	100	Color (molet)		1,700		Toxiaro		Sandy loam	
8-24	10YR 5/3	100							Sandy loam	1
			_							
			_							
			_							
	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand			Pore Lining, M	_
-	il Indicators:								tic Hydric Soi	
	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LR</b> F	RR,			RR K, L, MLRA	
Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3)			MLRA 149B)	(00) (1	DD D 14	DA 440F			(A16) ( <b>LRR K</b> ,	
	` ,		Thin Dark Surface				-	-	Peat (S3) ( <b>LRF</b>	
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			High Chroma Sa						face (S8) (LRF	
Stratified Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M			, L)			9) (LRR K, L)		
	ted Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M		2)			-	ses (F12) ( <b>LR</b>	
	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	` '					Soils (F19) (M	
	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Sur						MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)
	/ Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S		7)			ent Material (		
	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression						urface (TF12)	
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	(K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Ren	marks)	
Dark	Surface (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and w	retland hydrology mus	st be pres	sent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.			
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed)	:								
Туре:										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent?	Yes	No X
Remarks:							-			
	form is revised from No							S Field Indicate	ators of Hydric	Soils version
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	vw.nrcs.us	da.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	ENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	051293.docx)			

Ramps City/County: Wrentham/ No	orfolk County Sampling Date: 7/16/19				
	State: MA Sampling Point: UPDP2				
Section, Township, Range:	Town of Wrentham				
Local relief (concave, convex,	none): Convex Slope (%): 8-15				
91 Long: -	71.3479 Datum: UTM 19T				
	NWI classification: N/A				
	I Circumstances" present? Yes X No				
	explain any answers in Remarks.)				
owing sampling point location	ons, transects, important features, etc.				
X Is the Sampled Area					
<del></del>   '	Yes No X				
<del></del>					
	·				
	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
ic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
gen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
ed Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	g Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
nce of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
it Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)				
/luck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
(Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
th (inches):					
th (inches):					
th (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes No X				
	<del></del> -				
ial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ailable:				
g of right of the state of the	Section, Township, Range: Local relief (concave, convex, 91 Long: - opes, extremely stony me of year? Yes X No ignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal aturally problematic? (If needed, of the company of th				

**VEGETATION** – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: UPDP2 Absolute Dominant Indicator Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ) % Cover Species? Status **Dominance Test worksheet:** Quercus alba 60 Yes **FACU Number of Dominant Species** 40 FACU That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: Quercus alba Yes (A) 3. Acer rubrum 10 No FAC **Total Number of Dominant** Species Across All Strata: 4. (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6. 0.0% (A/B) Prevalence Index worksheet: 110 =Total Cover Total % Cover of: Multiply by: Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ) OBL species 0 x 1 = 35 Pinus strobus **FACU** FACW species 0 x 2 = \_\_\_\_\_5\_\_\_\_ 10 2. Pinus resinosa **FACU** No FAC species x 3 = 30 3. FACU species 140 x 4 = x 5 = 4. 0 UPL species 590 5. Column Totals: 150 (A) (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.93 6. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 40 =Total Cover 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ) 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0<sup>1</sup> 2. 4 - Morphological Adaptations<sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 3. Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation<sup>1</sup> (Explain) 4. 5. <sup>1</sup>Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 6. 7. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless =Total Cover of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: \_\_\_\_) Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic 3. Vegetation Yes No X Present? =Total Cover Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP2

	scription: (Describe	to the de				or confi	rm the absence of	f indicators.	)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	x Feature %	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks	
0-11	10YR 3/3	100	Color (Illoist)		Туре	Loc	Texture		Sandy loam	
11-24	10YR 4/4	100							Sandy loam	1
								-		
	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (			Pore Lining, M	_
-	il Indicators:		Daharahaa Dalam		(CO) /I DI				tic Hydric Soi	
	sol (A1)	•	Polyvalue Below  MLRA 149B)	Surface	(58) (LRI	KK,			R K, L, MLRA	
	Epipedon (A2)		,	oo (CO) (I	DD D M	I DA 140E			(A16) ( <b>LRR K</b> ,	
Black Histic (A3)			Thin Dark Surface				-	-	Peat (S3) (LRF	
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			High Chroma Sa						ace (S8) (LRF	≀ K, L)
	ied Layers (A5)	,	Loamy Mucky M			, L)			9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )	
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	/latrix (F2	2)		Iron-Man	iganese Mas	ses (F12) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L, R)
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)	•	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmon	t Floodplain	Soils (F19) ( <b>M</b>	LRA 149B)
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	,	Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)			Mesic Sp	odic (TA6) (I	MLRA 144A, 1	145, 149B)
Sandy	/ Gleyed Matrix (S4)	,	Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Pare	ent Material (	(F21)	
Sandy	/ Redox (S5)		Redox Depression	ons (F8)			Very Sha	allow Dark Su	urface (TF12)	
Stripp	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	K, L)			Other (E:	xplain in Ren	narks)	
Dark S	Surface (S7)	•					<del></del>			
	of hydrophytic vegeta		etland hydrology mus	t be pres	ent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.			
	e Layer (if observed)	:								
Type:										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent?	Yes	No X
Remarks:										
	form is revised from No							S Field Indica	ators of Hydric	Soils version
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	ww.nrcs.us	da.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCOM	EN IS/nrc	:s142p2_0	J51293.docx)			

Project/Site: MassDOT Wren	ntham: Construction of I-4	↓95/1A Ramps C	city/County: Wrentham/ N	Norfolk County	Sampling Date: 7/16/19			
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT				State:	MA Sampling Point: UPDP3			
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, J	Jessica Rebholz	Sı	ection, Township, Range	: Town of Wrenthar	 m			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc	;.): Hillside	Loca	al relief (concave, conve	x, none): None	Slope (%): 0-15			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LF	RR R Lat:	: 42.0391	Long:	-71.3382	Datum: UTM 19T			
Soil Map Unit Name: 104C Ho					fication: N/A			
Are climatic / hydrologic condit	·	•	•		n in Remarks.)			
, ,	•	•			,			
Are Vegetation, Soil		<u>-</u>		al Circumstances" pr				
	, or Hydrology			, explain any answers	•			
SUMMARY OF FINDING	3S – Attach site ma	p showing sa	mpling point locat	ions, transects,	important features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland?	Yes	No X			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional Wetlar	nd Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative Data point taken approximate			a wetland.					
HYDROLOGY  Western Under law Indicate				C	· /:			
Wetland Hydrology Indicato		2.0			cators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum			(50)		oil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)		_Water-Stained Lea	` '		Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)		_Aquatic Fauna (B1	•		Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)		_Marl Deposits (B1 Hydrogen Sulfide	•	Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		-	oheres on Living Roots (C					
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Redu	• ,	- · · · · <del></del>				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		-	uction in Tilled Soils (C6)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface	` '	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aer		Other (Explain in I						
Sparsely Vegetated Cond		, •	,	<u> </u>	ral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:				<del></del>	. ,			
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):		d Hydrology Present	t? Yes No_X			
(includes capillary fringe)		· 						
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitoring we	əll, aerial photos, p	previous inspections), if a	vailable:				
Remarks:								

**VEGETATION** – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: UPDP3 Absolute Dominant Indicator <u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 30 ) % Cover Species? Status **Dominance Test worksheet:** Quercus alba Yes **FACU Number of Dominant Species** 55 FACU That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: Acer saccharum Yes (A) 3. Acer rubrum 10 No FAC **Total Number of Dominant** Species Across All Strata: 4. (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6. 0.0% (A/B) Prevalence Index worksheet: 7. 130 =Total Cover Total % Cover of: Multiply by: Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ) OBL species 0 x 1 = 15 Lonicera tatarica **FACU** FACW species 0 x 2 = \_\_\_\_2 10 Pinus resinosa No **FACU** FAC species x 3 = 30 137 3. FACU species x 4 = 4. 0 UPL species x 5 = 5. Column Totals: 147 (A) 578 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.93 6. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 17 =Total Cover 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ) 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0<sup>1</sup> 4 - Morphological Adaptations<sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 3. Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation<sup>1</sup> (Explain) 4. 5. <sup>1</sup>Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 6. 7. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless =Total Cover of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: \_\_\_\_) Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic 3. Vegetation Yes No X Present? =Total Cover Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP3

	escription: (Describe	to the de				or confi	rm the absence of	f indicators.)	)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	x Feature %	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks	
0-12	10YR 3/4	100	Color (moist)		Туре	Loc	Texture		Sandy loam	
0-12										
12-24	10YR 4/3	100							Sandy loam	1
					· <u></u>					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RN	1=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (	Grains. <sup>2</sup> Loc	cation: PL=P	ore Lining, M	=Matrix.
-	oil Indicators:						Indicators fo		-	
	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	RR,			R K, L, MLRA	
	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)	(CO) (I	DD D M	DA 440E			A16) (LRR K,	
Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			Thin Dark Surface High Chroma Sa					-	Peat (S3) ( <b>LRF</b> ace (S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	
Stratified Layers (A5)			Loamy Mucky M						9) (LRR K, L)	· · · · · · ·
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)		ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M			, –,			ses (F12) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L, R)
	Dark Surface (A12)	` ,	Depleted Matrix		•			-	Soils (F19) ( <b>M</b>	
Sandy	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	face (F6)			Mesic Sp	odic (TA6) (N	MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)
Sandy	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Pare	ent Material (I	F21)	
	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression						ırface (TF12)	
	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K</b> , <b>L</b> )			Other (E	xplain in Rem	narks)	
Dark	Surface (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	ution and w	etland hydrology mus	t ha nrac	ent unles	e dieturha	ad or problematic			
	e Layer (if observed)		reliand hydrology mus	st be pres	serit, uriles	ss disturbe	ed of problematic.			
Туре:										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent?	Yes	No X
Remarks:	<u> </u>						<u> </u>			
This data	form is revised from N							S Field Indica	ators of Hydric	Soils version
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	ww.nrcs.us	sda.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	ENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	)51293.docx)			

Project/Site: MassDOT Wren	ntham: Construction of I-4	95/1A Ramps C	ity/County: Wrentha	am/ Norfolk County	Sampling Date: 7/17/19			
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT				State:	MA Sampling Point: UPDP4			
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, J	essica Rebholz	Sı	ection, Township, R	Range: Town of Wrentha	m			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.	.): Hillside of highway			convex, none): None	Slope (%): 0			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LF	, <u> </u>	40.0399	,	Long: -71.3470	Datum: UTM 19T			
Soil Map Unit Name: 653 Unde		40.0000			ification: N/A			
	•							
Are climatic / hydrologic condit	,,	•		<del></del> ,	n in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil	<u></u>			Normal Circumstances" pr	resent? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally prob	olematic? (If nee	eded, explain any answer	s in Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDING	SS – Attach site ma	p showing sa	mpling point lo	ocations, transects,	, important features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes	No X	Is the Sampled	Area				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland		No X			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional W	Vetland Site ID:				
Data point taken approximate	IY 25 feet flortif of defilinat	.10h fiag ivv i-o. ivo	it a wetianu.					
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ers:			Secondary Indi	icators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; check	all that apply)		Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Lea	aves (B9)	Drainage F	Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B	13)	Moss Trim	Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B1	,		on Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide		Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizosp	heres on Living Roo	ving Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)			Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Redu	iction in Tilled Soils	(C6) Geomorph	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface	e (C7)	Shallow Ad	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in I	Remarks)	Microtopoç	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Cond	cave Surface (B8)			FAC-Neutr	ral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):	We	etland Hydrology Presen	nt? Yes No X			
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitoring we	əll, aerial photos, p	revious inspections	s), if available:				
Remarks:								

Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
70	Yes	FACU	No. 1 or (Doctor)		
20	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	_(B)
			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	_(A/E
			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
90	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: N	fultiply by:	
)			OBL species 0 x 1 =	0	
35	Yes	FACU	FACW species 0 x 2 =	0	
5	No	FACU	FAC species 0 x 3 =	0	
_			FACU species130 x 4 =	520	
			UPL species 0 x 5 =	0	
			Column Totals: 130 (A)	520	(I
			Prevalence Index = B/A =	4.00	
			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	:	
40	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Ve	egetation	
			2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
					portir
			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetat	tion¹ (Explai	in)
					nust
			Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
					amet
					ВН а
			<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) p	olants, rega	rdles
					.8 ft ir
			Hydrophytic		
			Vegetation	No X	
	90	70 Yes 20 Yes  90 =Total Cover  35 Yes 5 No  40 =Total Cover  =Total Cover	70 Yes FACU 20 Yes FACU  90 =Total Cover  No FACU  40 =Total Cover  =Total Cover	TO Yes FACU  20 Yes FACU  Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:  Total Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:  Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:  Prevalence Index worksheet:  Total % Cover of:  NOBL species 0 x1 = FACW species 0 x2 = FACU FAC species 0 x3 = FACU species 130 x4 = UPL species 0 x5 = Column Totals: 130 (A) Prevalence Index = B/A = Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation Remarks or on a separ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators of hydric soil and wetland present, unless disturbed or problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation Definitions of Vegetation Strata:  Tree — Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or at breast height (DBH), regardless of speater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) of size, and woody plants less than 3	Yes

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP4

Profile Des Depth	scription: (Describe Matrix	to the dep		<b>nent the</b> x Feature		r or confi	rm the absence o	of indicators.)			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Rei	marks		
<del></del>					- 71			-			
0-18	10YR 4/4	100						Sandy loam, ro	ock refusal at 18"		
	_								_		
									_		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (	Grains. <sup>2</sup> Lo	cation: PL=Pore Lin	ning, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soi	il Indicators:						Indicators for	or Problematic Hyd	ric Soils³:		
Histos	ol (A1)	-	Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRI</b>	RR,	2 cm Mu	ıck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> ,	, MLRA 149B)		
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>I</b>	RR K, L, R)		
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark				ce (S9) ( <b>I</b>	RR R, M	LRA 149E	<b>3</b> )5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Peat (S	3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			High Chroma Sa	ınds (S11	I) (LRR K	, L)	Polyvalu	ie Below Surface (S8	B) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Da	rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)		
Deplet	ted Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	1atrix (F2	2)		Iron-Mai	nganese Masses (F1	12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)	-	Depleted Matrix	(F3)		Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA					
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)			Mesic S	podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA</b>	144A, 145, 149B)		
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)			
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depression				Very Sh	allow Dark Surface (	TF12)		
Strippe	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K</b> , <b>L</b> )			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)			
Dark S	Surface (S7)										
	of hydrophytic vegeta		etland hydrology mus	t be pres	ent, unles	s disturbe	ed or problematic.				
Restrictive	E Layer (if observed)	:									
Type:											
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Pr	esent? Yes	No X		
Remarks:							<u> </u>	_			
	orm is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Regio	nal Supp	lement Ve	ersion 2.0	to reflect the NRC	S Field Indicators of	Hydric Soils version		
	2013 Errata. (http://wv								·		

P5				
5				
_				
l				
l				
l				
Crayfish Burrows (C8)  G Roots (C3)  Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
· · · · <del></del>				
C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) led Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
_				
_				
_				

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator			
ree Stratum (Plot size:30)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
. Quercus alba	55	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species		
Pinus resinosa	50	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
. Acer rubrum	10	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant		
·	_			Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
· <u></u>				Percent of Dominant Species		
· .				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)
				Prevalence Index worksheet:		_
	115	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Mu	ultiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15	)			OBL species 0 x 1 =	0	
. Lonicera tatarica	<b>-</b> 7 35	Yes	FACU	FACW species 0 x 2 =	0	
. Rosa multiflora	5	No	FACU	FAC species 10 x 3 =	30	
				FACU species 145 x 4 =	580	
				UPL species 0 x 5 =	0	
				<u> </u>		— (D)
·				Column Totals: 155 (A)	610	(B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A =	3.94	
·				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
	40	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Ve	getation	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:5 )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
·				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
<u> </u>				4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Pr		oorting
3				data in Remarks or on a separa	te sneet)	
l				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation	on¹ (Explai	n)
i	_			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland h	vdrology n	nust be
S				present, unless disturbed or problemat		
·				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
3.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or i	in die	
).				at breast height (DBH), regardless of h		inetei
0.					0: 5:	
1				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less th greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) ta		3H and
2.						
		=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) ploof size, and woody plants less than 3.2		dless
<u>Voody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:		- Total Govel		or size, and woody plants loss than 5.2	o it tall.	
	<b>—</b> ′			Woody vines – All woody vines greate	er than 3.2	8 ft in
-				height.		
2				Hydrophytic		
3.				Vegetation		
l				Present? Yes N	o X	
	:	=Total Cover				

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP5

	escription: (Describe	to the de				or confi	rm the absence of	f indicators.	.)			
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	x Feature %	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks			
0-9	10YR 4/2		Color (moist)		Туре	LUC	Texture					
0-9	10114/2	100							sandy loan	<u> </u>		
9-24	10YR 4/4	100							silty sand			
			_									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coat	ed Sand (	Grains. <sup>2</sup> Loo	cation: PL=F	Pore Lining, M	=Matrix.		
	oil Indicators:	•	,						tic Hydric So	_		
Histos	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Mu	ck (A10) ( <b>LR</b>	RR K, L, MLRA	<b>A 149B</b> )		
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R					
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	ce (S9) ( <b>I</b>	RR R, M	LRA 149E	3) 5 cm Mu	m Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )				
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	ınds (S11	I) (LRR K	, L)	Polyvalue	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )				
	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M			) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)						
	ted Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M			,		Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)				
	Dark Surface (A12)	( ,	Depleted Matrix		• /			edmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)				
	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Surf	` '								
								Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 14 Red Parent Material (F21)				
	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S		7)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
	y Redox (S5)		Redox Depression				Other (Explain in Remarks)					
	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K</b> , L)			Other (E	xpiain in Rei	narks)			
Dark	Surface (S7)											
<sup>3</sup> Indicators	of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and w	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	sent, unles	ss disturbe	ed or problematic.					
Restrictiv	e Layer (if observed)	:										
Type:												
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent?	Yes	No X		
Remarks:												
	form is revised from No							S Field Indic	ators of Hydri	c Soils version		
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://ww	ww.nrcs.us	da.gov/Internet/FSE_	DOCUM	ENTS/nrc	s142p2_0	)51293.docx)					

Project/Site: MassDOT Wren	ntham: Construction of I-4	95/1A Ramps Ci	ity/County: Wrenth	am/ Norfolk County	Sampling Date: 7/17/19				
Applicant/Owner: MassDOT				State:	MA Sampling Point: UPDP6				
Investigator(s): Kyle Purdy, J	essica Rebholz	Se	ection, Township, F	Range: Town of Wrentha	am				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.	.): Flat	Loca	al relief (concave, c	convex, none): None	Slope (%): 0-15				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LF	RR R Lat:	42.0399	,	Long: -71.3366	Datum: UTM 19T				
Soil Map Unit Name: 401C Ho					sification: N/A				
	•	•	•						
Are climatic / hydrologic condit	• •	•			in in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil	<u> </u>			'Normal Circumstances" p	oresent? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally prob	olematic? (If ne	eeded, explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDING	3S – Attach site ma	p showing sai	mpling point lo	ocations, transects	s, important features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes	No X	Is the Sampled	Area					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetlan	nd? Yes	No X				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional V	Vetland Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alternative Data point taken approximate			a wetland						
HYDROLOGY									
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	rs:			Secondary Ind	dicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; check a	all that apply)		Surface S	Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Lea	<u>—</u>						
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B1	,		m Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B1	•	<del></del> -	on Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide			Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		•	heres on Living Ro	` '	n Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Redu	` '		or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			ction in Tilled Soils		hic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface			Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aer		Other (Explain in F	Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Cond	cave Surface (B8)			FAC-Neut	tral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:		_	_		<del></del>				
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):							
Water Table Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):							
Saturation Present?	Yes NoX	Depth (inches):	We	etland Hydrology Presei	nt? Yes No X				
(includes capillary fringe)									
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitoring we	II, aerial photos, pi	revious inspections	s), if available:					
Remarks:									

<b>VEGETATION</b> – Use scientific names of p	Sampling Point: <u>UPDP6</u>							
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:				
1. Acer saccharum	45	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species				
2. Pinus strobus	5	No	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)		
3. Pinus resinosa	5	No	FACU	Total Number of Dominant		_		
4.				Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)		
5.				Description of Description				
6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)		
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:		_ `		
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Mu	ultiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15	)			OBL species 0 x 1 =	0			
Rosa multiflora	.′ 15	Yes	FACU	FACW species 0 x 2 =	0			
2.			17.00	FAC species 0 x 3 =	0			
2	_							
3				FACU species 70 x 4 =	280			
4				UPL species 0 x 5 =	0	<del>_</del>		
5.				Column Totals: 70 (A)	280	(B)		
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A =	4.00			
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
	15	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Ve	getation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%				
1				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>				
2.				4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting				
3				data in Remarks or on a separa	te sheet)			
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation	on¹ (Explai	in)		
56.	_			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland h present, unless disturbed or problemat		nust be		
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:				
8.				_ ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
9.				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or at breast height (DBH), regardless of h		ameter		
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less th	ıan 3 in. Di	BH and		
11				greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) ta				
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) pl	ants regal	rdlace		
		=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.2		raicoo		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	)			Woody vines – All woody vines greate	or than 2 2	Q ft in		
1.	-			height.	51 tilali 3.2	O IL III		
2.								
3.				Hydrophytic				
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes N	o_X_			
	_	=Total Cover		100	<u> </u>			
		- Total Cover						

**SOIL** Sampling Point: UPDP6

Profile De Depth	scription: (Describe Matrix	to the de		<b>nent the</b> x Feature		r or confi	rm the absence of	indicators.	.)			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% realure	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks			
0-14	10YR 3/4	100	Color (molet)		1,700		roxuro		sandy loam	 1		
14.24	10VP 2/6	100							oilty cond			
14-24	10YR 3/6	100							silty sand			
			_									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Dep	oletion. RM	=Reduced Matrix. CS	======================================	ed or Coat	ed Sand	Grains. <sup>2</sup> Loc	ation: PL=F	Pore Lining, M	=Matrix.		
	il Indicators:		, toudou mann, or	0010.0			Indicators fo			_		
-	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) ( <b>LRF</b>	RR,			RR K, L, MLRA			
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)					
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface	ce (S9) ( <b>I</b>	RR R, M	LRA 149E	3) 5 cm Mu	Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )				
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	nds (S11	I) (LRR K	, L)	Polyvalue	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)				
Stratif	ied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F	1) ( <b>LRR K</b>	, L)	Thin Darl	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)				
Deple	ted Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed M	/latrix (F2	<u>'</u> )		Iron-Man	n-Manganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )				
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix		•		Piedmon	edmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)				
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)					MLRA 144A,			
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark Surface (F7)  Red Parent Material (F21)							, ,		
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depression		,		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR				Other (Explain in Remarks)					
	Surface (S7)			, -,					,			
	Surface (G7)											
	of hydrophytic vegeta		etland hydrology mus	st be pres	sent, unles	ss disturbe	ed or problematic.					
Restrictive	e Layer (if observed)	:										
Type:												
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	sent?	Yes	No X		
Remarks:												
	orm is revised from No							S Field Indica	ators of Hydrid	: Soils version		
7.0 March	2013 Errata. (http://w\	ww.nrcs.us	da.gov/internet/FSE_		EN I S/nrc	s142p2_0	J51293.docx)					



## Attachment C – Photographic Log

### PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Project No. E2X691A7

Photo No.

Date: 7/16/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

Southeast

Description:

View of the Wetland 1.



Photo No.

Date: 7/16/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

East

Description:

View of Wetland 1.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Project No. E2X691A7

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019
Direction Photo Taken:

Northeast

Description: View of Wetland 2.



Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019
Direction Photo Taken:

Direction Photo Taken: Southwest

Description: View of Wetland 3.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Project No. E2X691A7

Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019
Direction Photo Taken:

Southwest

Description:

View of forested area to the southeast of the concrete building to be demolished. Wooden fence in background (difficult to see) has Minuteman Commuter Bikeway located it.



Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

South

Description:

View of Intermittent Stream 1 starting behind ponded area.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Project No. E2X691A7

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7 9/21/2018

Direction Photo Taken:

Southeast

Description:

View of Intermittent Stream



Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

North

Description: View of Wetland 4.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Project No. E2X691A7

Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

Southeast

Description:

View of Isolated Wetland.



Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019 10

Direction Photo Taken:

North

Description:

View of Naturalized Area (Isolated Wetland 2).



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019 11

Direction Photo Taken:

Southeast

Description:

View of Wetland 5, western portion.



Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019 12

Direction Photo Taken:

North

Description:

View of eastern portion of Wetland 5.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019 13

Direction Photo Taken: Southeast

Description:

View of eastern portion of Wetland 5.



Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019 14

Direction Photo Taken:

North

Description: View of Wetland 6.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Project No. E2X691A7

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7/17/2019

Direction Photo Taken:

Southeast

Description:

View of upland median area northeast of I-495/Route 1A intersection.



Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019
Direction Photo Taken:

North

Description:

View of I-495, northwest corner.



## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Site Location: I-495/Route 1A Intersection, Wrentham, Massachusetts

Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019 17

Direction Photo Taken: Southeast

Description:

View of northwest corner, utility right-of-way.



Photo No. Date: 7/16/2019 18

Direction Photo Taken: North

Description:

View of southeast median of I-495/Route 1A.

