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## INTRODUCTION

McMahon, a Bowman company has completed a review of the existing traffic operations and potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed gas station and convenience store development located on the south side of Commerce Boulevard in Wrentham, Massachusetts. The purpose of this traffic impact study is to evaluate existing and projected traffic operations and safety conditions associated with the proposed development within the study area.

The assessment documented in this traffic impact study is based on a review of existing traffic volumes, recent crash data, and the anticipated traffic generating characteristics of the proposed project. The study examines existing and projected traffic operations (both without and with the proposed development) at the intersection of Washington Street (Route 1) at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard. This study provides a detailed analysis of traffic operations during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours, when the combination of adjacent roadway volumes and project trips would be expected to be the greatest.

Based on the analysis presented in this study, the traffic projected to be generated by the proposed development, in conjunction with the proposed improvements, would have a minor impact on the study area roadways and intersections.

## Project Description

The proposed gas station and convenience store would be developed on Lot 1 of the overall Wrentham Business Center subdivision project, located on the east side of Washington Street (Route 1) across from Hawes Street. The project site is located on the southeastern corner of the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard, as depicted in Figure 1. The site is bounded by Washington Street to the west, the Supercharged Entertainment facility to the east, Commerce Boulevard to the north, and Turnpike Truck Parts to the south.

The project would involve the construction of an approximately 4,500 square foot convenience store building with six vehicle fueling pumps ( 12 total fueling positions). A total of 25 parking spaces would be provided on the site, including one disability-accessible space. Access to the site would be provided via two full-access driveways on Commerce Boulevard. For the purposes of this traffic impact study, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard would be placed under traffic signal control as part of other elements being developed for the Wrentham Business Center project.


Figure 1

## Study Methodology

This traffic impact study evaluates existing and projected traffic operations within the study area for the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hour traffic conditions, when the combination of the adjacent roadway volumes and estimated project trips would be expected to be the greatest.

The study was conducted in three steps. The first step consisted of an inventory of existing traffic conditions within the project study area. As part of this inventory, manual turning movement counts were collected at the study intersection during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak periods. Crash data for the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard was obtained from the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) to determine if the intersection has any existing traffic safety deficiencies.

The second step of the study built upon the data collected in the first step of the study to establish the basis for evaluating potential transportation impacts associated with the projected future conditions. During this second step, the projected traffic demands associated with planned future developments which could influence traffic volumes at the study intersection were assessed. Consistent with MassDOT traffic study guidelines, 2023 Existing traffic volumes were forecasted to the future year 2030 to establish 2030 No Build (without project) conditions and 2030 Build (with project) conditions.

The third step of this study determined if measures were necessary to improve future traffic operations and safety, minimize potential traffic impacts, and provide safe and efficient access to the proposed project site.

## Study Area

Based on a review of the anticipated traffic generating characteristics of the proposed project and a review of the adjacent roadways serving the project site, the intersection of Washington Street (Route 1) at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard was selected for analysis. This report documents existing and future traffic conditions for this intersection.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

An accurate assessment of the potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed project requires a comprehensive understanding of the existing traffic conditions within the project study area. The existing conditions assessment included in this study consists of an inventory of intersection and roadway geometries, an inventory of traffic control devices, the collection of peak period traffic volumes, and a review of recent crash data. The existing conditions in the vicinity of the project site are summarized below.

## Roadway Network

## Washington Street (Route 1)

Washington Street (Route 1) generally travels in a north to south direction through the Town of Wrentham and provides access to primarily commercial land uses in the vicinity of the project site. Washington Street (Route 1) is classified as an urban principal arterial under MassDOT jurisdiction and typically provides two travel lanes in each direction measuring approximately 12 feet wide in the vicinity of the project site. No sidewalks or bicycle facilities are provided along Washington Street (Route 1). A speed limit of 55 miles per hour (mph) is posted on Washington Street (Route 1) in each direction approaching the project site.

## Hawes Street

Hawes Street is classified as a local roadway under Town of Wrentham jurisdiction and generally travels in a north to south direction between Washington Street (Route 1) and Thurston Street. Hawes Street measures between 20 and 24 feet wide and accommodates two-way travel. Travel lanes on Hawes Street are unmarked, and sidewalks are not provided on either side of the roadway. No speed limits are posted on Hawes Street in either direction.

## Commerce Boulevard

Commerce Boulevard is a private roadway which extends from Washington Street (Route 1) approximately 450 feet to the east, where it dead ends. Commerce Boulevard was developed as part of the Wrentham Business Center subdivision and serves three separate lots including the Supercharged entertainment center, a proposed warehouse, and the currently proposed gas station and convenience store. Commerce Boulevard is approximately 44 feet wide and allows for two-way vehicle traffic. With the proposed gas station and convenience store project in place, sidewalks would be constructed on both sides of Commerce Boulevard. No speed limit is posted on Commerce Boulevard.

## 2023 Existing Traffic Volumes

To assess peak hour traffic conditions, manual turning movement counts (TMCs) were conducted at the study area intersection during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak periods.

Counts were conducted on Saturday, April 1, 2023 from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM and on Tuesday, April 4, 2023 from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM. The results of the turning movement counts are tabulated by 15minute periods and are provided in Appendix A of this report. The four highest consecutive 15-minute intervals during each of these count periods constitute the peak hours that are the basis of the traffic analysis provided in this report. As noted in MassDOT's Traffic and Safety Engineering 25\% Design

Submission Guidelines, MassDOT prefers the use of counts taken after March 1, 2022, when the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic are considered to have settled into a "new normal". Based on a review of the peak period traffic data, the weekday morning peak hour at the study area intersection occurs between 7:00 AM and 8:00 AM, the weekday afternoon peak hour occurs between 4:45 PM and 5:45 PM, and the Saturday midday peak hour occurs between 11:45 AM and 12:45 PM.

## Seasonal Variation

To account for seasonal variation in traffic volumes, historic traffic count data on Interstate 495 (MassDOT Count Station 6312) was reviewed from the MassDOT Transportation Data Management System dashboard. Based on the continuous count station data, traffic volumes collected during the month of April are shown to be approximately $3.6 \%$ lower than an average month. Therefore, to provide a more conservative analysis, the volumes collected were adjusted upward to reflect an average month. The seasonal adjustment data is provided in Appendix B of this report.

The 2023 Existing peak hour traffic volumes are displayed in Figure 2 and are documented in the traffic projection model provided in Appendix C of this report.


## Crash Summary

Crash data for the study area intersection was obtained from the MassDOT crash data portal. The most recent five-year period of data available on the portal is 2016 through 2020 . However, as the COVID-19 pandemic may have significantly affected traffic and crash patterns during 2020, this study summarizes data for 2015 through 2020. A detailed summary of the available crash data for the years 2015 to 2019 is provided in Appendix D.

The MassDOT Crash Rate Worksheet calculations were used to determine whether the crash frequency at the study intersection was unusually high given the travel demands. The MassDOT Crash Rate Worksheet calculates a crash rate expressed in crashes per million entering vehicles. The calculated rate is then compared to the average rate for unsignalized intersections statewide and within MassDOT District 5. For unsignalized intersections, the statewide and District 5 average crash rates are both 0.57 crashes per million entering vehicles.

The unsignalized intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard had a total of 16 reported crashes between 2015 and 2020, resulting in a crash rate of 0.26 crashes per million entering vehicles, which is below the state and districtwide crash rates. Of the total 16 crashes, five were angle collisions, four were rear-end collisions, three were sideswipe collisions, three were single vehicle crashes, and the remaining crash type was unknown. Six crashes resulted in personal injury, nine crashes resulted in property damage only, and the result of the remaining crash was unknown.

## FUTURE CONDITIONS

To establish future traffic demands on the study area intersection, the 2023 Existing traffic volumes were projected to the future-year 2030, by which time the project would be anticipated to be built and occupied. Traffic volumes on the study area roadways in 2030 are considered to include existing traffic, new traffic resulting from general population growth, and traffic from other planned development projects independent of the proposed project. The potential background traffic growth, independent of the project, was considered in the development of the 2030 No Build (without project) peak hour traffic volumes. The estimated traffic increases associated with the proposed project were then added to the 2030 No Build volumes to reflect the 2030 Build (with project) traffic conditions. A description of the development of the 2030 No Build and 2030 Build traffic volume networks is presented below.

## Wrentham Business Center

The proposed gas station and convenience store project site is located within the Wrentham Business Center which includes a subdivision of three lots that were created in 2017 through the use of the Subdivision Control Law and were permitted through the Wrentham Planning Board. The first phase (on Lot 2) of the Wrentham Business Center project has been constructed and is operational as a 116,000 square foot indoor recreational facility called Supercharged Entertainment. The next phase of the Wrentham Business Center project includes a proposed approximately 179,000 square foot warehouse with a minor office component on Lot 3 , and the construction of the 12 fueling position gas station and 4,500 square foot convenience store on Lot 1 . The warehouse component of the Wrentham Business Center has been approved by the Wrentham Planning Board and the entire subdivision project is currently under review through the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). With the previous approval of the warehouse, the trips associated with that portion of the development could be included as a background development and included in the 2030 No Build condition volumes. However, the overall build program of the Wrentham Business Center calls for the warehouse development and the proposed gas station and convenience store to be constructed concurrently with each other, as such, the warehouse project is included under 2030 Build conditions, but not as a background development within the No Build condition. The other background traffic growth discussed below is included in both the 2030 No Build and 2030 Build conditions.

## Background Traffic Growth

Traffic growth is primarily a function of changes in motor vehicle use and expected land developments within the area. To establish the rate at which traffic on the study area roadways can be anticipated to grow during the seven-year forecast period (2023 to 2030), both general traffic growth and local planned developments were reviewed.

## Development-Specific Growth

Conversations with the Town of Wrentham identified two planned developments located within close proximity to the project site that would be expected to impact future traffic volumes on the study area roadways, namely:

- A proposed gas station and convenience store at 500 Thurston Street
- A proposed warehouse development at 500-524 Thurston Street

The proposed gas station and convenience store project located at 500 Thurston Street involves the construction of an approximately 6,000 square foot convenience store and 17 total vehicle fueling positions, as well as a single-stall car wash. The April 2019 Transportation Impact Assessment conducted by Vanasse \& Associates, Inc. was referenced to determine the number of additional trips on the study area roadways estimated to be generated by the project. The resulting trips are displayed in the traffic projection model included in Appendix C.

The proposed warehouse project to be located at 500-524 Thurston Street would construct an approximately 132,000 square foot building on the southeast corner of the intersection of Washington Street at Thurston Street. The trips associated with this proposed warehouse were included in the future traffic volume projections based on information provided in the Traffic Impact Assessment prepared by Vanasse \& Associates, Inc. dated September 2021.

The town also identified two other development projects in the vicinity of the project site: a potential warehouse project at 544 Thurston Street and a solar farm project at 80 Washington Street which is anticipated to be under construction in 2023. At the time of discussion, the warehouse development project had not yet filed with the Wrentham Planning Board. Therefore, traffic estimated to be generated by this development is not known at this time and is expected to be captured in the background growth rate discussed below. ITE does not provide data for vehicle trips associated with solar energy facilities, however a review of impact studies prepared for solar farms shows that trip generation associated with operations are generally minimal. Any trips associated with occasional maintenance or other occurrences at the solar farm are expected to be captured in the background growth rate.

## Background Traffic Growth

Background traffic growth accounts for changes in traffic volumes associated with general changes in population and other developments that are not known at this time. An annual background traffic growth rate of $1.0 \%$ per year, compounded annually, was established for the study area based on coordination with Town of Wrentham and used to grow the 2023 traffic volumes to future year 2030.

The resulting projected traffic volumes at the study area intersection are documented in the traffic projection model located in Appendix C.

## Future Roadway Improvements

Based on previous discussions with the Town of Wrentham, no future town-funded roadway improvement projects are currently proposed in the vicinity of the project site that would be expected to impact traffic volumes or operations. During the local permitting process for the proposed warehouse at 15 Commerce Boulevard, residents and business owners discussed existing travel patterns, access, and safety along Hawes Street. In an effort to address existing concerns on Hawes Street and at its intersection with Washington Street, the Town of Wrentham has stated an intention to pursue potential signage and striping changes. The Wrentham Police Department and Wrentham Department of Public Works would work together with MassDOT, as appropriate, to review and implement potential changes.

Intersection improvements at the intersection of Washington Street at Thurston Street are planned as part of the development of a proposed gas station and convenience store and a proposed warehouse development on Thurston Street. As part of the improvements, the eastbound and westbound Thurston Street approaches would be reconfigured to accommodate exclusive left-turn lanes and a shared through/right-turn lane in each direction. Signal timing and phasing adjustments would also be expected
as part of the intersection improvement. For the purposes of this study, the reconfiguration of Thurston Street and associated signal timing and phasing adjustments have been included in the No Build analysis.

The project team has also coordinated with MassDOT in an effort to understand future roadway improvements that may impact transportation operations within the study area. MassDOT identified a Washington Street (Route 1) Corridor project that is evaluating alternative cross-sections for the Washington Street corridor from just north of the I-495 interchange in the south to the Wrentham townline in the north. The Washington Street (Route 1) Corridor project is currently in the pre-25\% design submittal stage, where MassDOT is exploring concepts for the corridor and study area intersections. MassDOT has previously shared that refined concepts for corridor improvements may include a median along Washington Street in the vicinity of Hawes Street and Commerce Boulevard. Therefore, the Wrentham Business Center project considers that a median may ultimately be proposed. Further development of concepts is being reviewed in coordination with MassDOT as part of the MEPA review process and future access permitting. Based on the information received to date, no specific corridor improvements are included as part of the future year conditions for this traffic impact study.

As outlined in previous processes (locally and with MEPA) the proposed signalization of Commerce Boulevard/Hawes Street is an integral part of the development of the Wrentham Business Center. Reasoning has been previously provided regarding the installation of a signal at the intersection of Commerce Boulevard/Hawes Street independent of the proposed warehouse, gas station, and convenience store land uses, to address existing safety concerns within this segment of the Washington Street corridor. The installation of a traffic signal is proposed to be completed as part of the warehouse and gas station development and construction. Although the warehouse land use has already been approved by the Wrentham Planning Board with the proposed signal in place under future conditions, the traffic signal would ultimately need to be approved by MassDOT. For the purposes of this study, the signalization of the Commerce Boulevard/Hawes Street intersection has not been included in the 2030 No Build condition of this traffic impact study.

## 2030 Background Traffic Volumes

The 2023 Existing peak hour traffic volumes were grown by one percent per year (compounded annually) over the seven-year study horizon (2023 to 2030) to establish the 2030 No Build conditions. The peak hour traffic volumes associated with the two developments identified by the Town were then added to the base future traffic volumes. The resulting 2030 No Build weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 3 and are documented in the traffic projection model presented in Appendix C of this report.


Figure 3
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## Site-Generated Traffic

In order to estimate the number of vehicle trips associated with the proposed development, the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) publication, Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, was referenced. The publication provides traffic generation information for various land uses compiled from studies conducted by members nationwide. Vehicle trip estimates for the proposed gas station and convenience store development were estimated based on data presented in this publication for Land Use Code 945 (Convenience Store/Gas Station) and the subcategory for a convenience store between 4,000 and 5,500 square feet. These references establish vehicle trip rates (in this case expressed in trips per square foot of convenience store gross floor area) based on actual traffic counts conducted at similar types of existing land uses. The estimated vehicle trips to the proposed site are presented in Table 1.

Not all trips to convenience stores and gas stations are new trips. A significant portion of the total trips attracted to such uses are pass-by trips. According to ITE, for Land Use Code 945 (Convenience Store/Gas Station), approximately 76 percent of the total weekday morning peak hour trips of this land use type are attributed to pass-by trips, while approximately 75 percent of the total weekday afternoon peak hour trips of this land use type are attributed to pass-by trips. A pass-by rate is not provided for the Saturday midday site peak hour through ITE. Therefore, the more conservative weekday afternoon peak hour pass-by rate of 75 percent was used to estimate total passby trips for the Saturday midday site peak hour. The vehicle trips estimated to be generated by the proposed gas station and convenience store development are separated into pass-by vehicle trips and new vehicle trips as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Proposed Project Trips

| Description | Size | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Convenience Store/Gas Station ${ }^{1}$ | 12 VFP | 162 | 162 | 324 | 137 | 137 | 274 | 125 | 120 | 245 |
| - Pass-By Trips ${ }^{2}$ |  | -123 | -123 | -246 | -103 | -103 | -206 | -92 | -92 | -184 |
| New Project Trips |  | 39 | 39 | 78 | 34 | 34 | 68 | 33 | 28 | 61 |

1 ITE Land Use Code 945 (Convenience Store/Gas Station for 4-5.5k square feet), based on 12 fueling positions.
2 Based on ITE Land Use Code 945, 76\% of weekday morning and 75\% of weekday afternoon peak hour vehicle trips are considered pass-by trips. Saturday midday peak hour pass-by rates are not available for LUC 945, therefore weekday afternoon pass-by rates were applied to the Saturday midday period.

As shown in Table 1, the proposed development is estimated to result in approximately 78 new vehicle trips ( 39 entering vehicles and 39 exiting vehicles) during the weekday morning peak hour, approximately 68 new vehicle trips ( 34 entering vehicles and 34 exiting vehicles) during the weekday afternoon peak hour, and approximately 61 new vehicle trips ( 33 entering vehicles and 28 exiting vehicles) during the Saturday midday peak hour.

## Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

The additional traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development was distributed onto the study area roadways based on existing travel patterns of vehicles in the study area. The resulting
arrival and departure patterns are presented in Figure 4 and are documented in the traffic projection model found in Appendix C.

The project-related traffic was then assigned to the surrounding roadway network based on the project trip distribution patterns presented in Figure 4, and the resulting new peak hour project trips are shown in Figure 5 for the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours.

## 2030 Build Traffic Volumes

To establish the 2030 Build peak hour traffic volumes, the distributed project trips were added to the 2030 No Build peak hour traffic volumes. As mentioned in previous sections of this report, peak hour traffic volumes associated with the proposed warehouse development at 15 Commerce Boulevard were not included in the 2030 No Build traffic volumes. These volumes have been included in the 2030 Build peak hour volumes based on the estimates and trip distribution patterns identified in previous documentation submitted to the Town of Wrentham and MEPA. The resulting 2030 Build weekday morning, weekday afternoon and Saturday midday peak hour traffic volumes are presented in Figure 6 and are documented in the traffic projection model presented in Appendix $C$ of this report.

## MUTCD Signal Warrant Analysis

Based on coordination with the project team, the Town of Wrentham, and MassDOT, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard is proposed to be signalized in conjunction as part of the overall Wrentham Business Center project. As part of the analysis to determine if signalization is justified, signal warrant analysis was completed based on a methodology previously approved by MassDOT. The methodology includes the use of 12 -hour turning movement counts at the intersection, which is preferred for use in signal warrant analyses, with no future projection growth for the Washington Street and the Hawes Street approaches. Vehicle trips associated with the proposed warehouse at 15 Commerce Boulevard and the proposed gas station and convenience store project were then added to the counted Commerce Boulevard approach volumes. The 12-hour turning movement counts were conducted in April 2023, as discussed in previous sections of this report. Vehicle trips exiting Commerce Boulevard were identified utilizing the daily trip generation and hourly distribution identified by ITE for each of the proposed land uses. The use of the daily trip generation and hourly distribution results in differing volumes for the project site than are presented for the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours in the other sections of this report. The vehicle trip estimate completed using the hourly distribution of traffic applied to the daily trip generation for the project provides a more conservative approach to the signal warrant analysis.

Signal warrant analyses were performed for the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard based on methodologies described in the 2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). The analyses performed for this report are based on the criteria for the eight-hour and four-hour volume warrants. The Eight-Hour (Warrant 1) and Four-Hour (Warrant 2) vehicular volume signal warrant conditions are intended to be applied where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing traffic signal control. For the Eight-Hour vehicular volume signal warrant to be met, minimum vehicular volumes for the major street and minor street, found in Table 4C-1 of the MUTCD, must be exceeded. To satisfy the Four-Hour signal warrant, the plotted point representing the hourly volumes on the major street and minor street intersection approaches during any four hours of an average weekday must be above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-1 of the MUTCD.

The eight-hour and four-hour warrant analyses were completed utilizing Highway Capacity Software (HCS). The HCS worksheets are provided in Appendix E of this report for refence. Based on the completed signal warrant analysis, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard is shown to meet the Eight-Hour and the Four-Hour warrants under the volume conditions analyzed. Based on the warrant analysis, coordination with the Town of Wrentham and MassDOT, and anticipated excessive delay for vehicles on Commerce Boulevard, a traffic signal is proposed at the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard as part of the 2030 Build conditions.

## Intersection Improvements

Based on discussions with the Town of Wrentham and through coordination with MassDOT, a traffic signal is proposed to be installed at the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard as part of the proposed Wrentham Business Center project. The potential configuration of the proposed signal at Commerce Boulevard/Hawes Street has gone through several iterations in an effort to identify the most appropriate signal timing and phasing configuration to serve the needs of the overall corridor. As mentioned previously, MassDOT is undertaking a review of the Washington Street corridor north and south of Commerce Boulevard, which is in the early stages of concept design and development. Therefore, the intersection configuration of a traffic signal at Commerce Boulevard/Hawes Street has not yet been identified and would need to be approved by MassDOT. As such, the phasing and configuration of the proposed signal presented in the analysis of this traffic impact study is a concept and is expected to undergo further review, adjustment, and design as the MassDOT and MEPA processes progress. It is expected that access along the corridor for individual land uses and side streets will be reviewed and addressed in full detail as part of the MassDOT project.

For the purposes of the 2030 Build analysis documented in this traffic impact study, the intersection improvements would include restriping the northbound and southbound Washington Street approaches to accommodate an exclusive left-turn lane, a through lane, and a shared through/rightturn lane. The westbound Commerce Boulevard approach would include an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The eastbound Hawes Street approach would continue to provide one general purpose travel lane. Crosswalks would be proposed at the signal to provide for pedestrian crossings across Washington Street and Commerce Boulevard. The intersection phasing would include a protected left-turn phase for Washington Street northbound and southbound traffic, followed by northbound and southbound general traffic, an exclusive pedestrian phase activated upon push-button only, and the Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard eastbound and westbound general traffic. The proposed traffic signal would be coordinated with the signals along Washington Street at Thurston Street, Madison Street, and the existing pedestrian crossing signal, as determined appropriate by MassDOT, to facilitate traffic flow through the corridor.
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## Traffic Operations Analysis

In previous sections of this report, the quantity of traffic within the study area has been discussed. The following sections describe the overall quality of the traffic flow at the study area intersection during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours. As a basis for this assessment, intersection capacity analysis was conducted using the Synchro capacity analysis software at the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard under the 2023 Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build peak hour traffic conditions. The analysis is based on Synchro capacity analysis methodologies and procedures contained in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM), which is summarized in Appendix F. A discussion of the evaluation criteria and a summary of the results of the capacity analysis are presented below.

## Level-of-Service Criteria

Average total vehicle delay is reported as level-of-service (LOS) on a scale of A to F. LOS A represents delays of 10 seconds or less and LOS F represents delays in excess of 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and greater than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. A more detailed description of the LOS criteria is provided in Appendix F.

## Capacity Analysis Results

Intersection capacity analysis was conducted using Synchro capacity analysis software for the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard to evaluate the 2023 Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build traffic conditions during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours. As mentioned previously, the peak hour traffic volumes utilized as part of this analysis are provided in the traffic projection model, attached in Appendix C of this report.

The Synchro capacity analysis results for the 2023 Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build traffic conditions are presented in Appendix G, Appendix H, and Appendix I, respectively. The capacity analysis results for the stop-controlled Hawes Street eastbound and Commerce Boulevard westbound approaches to the study area intersection under 2023 Existing and 2023 No Build conditions are presented in Table 2. The overall capacity analysis results for the study intersection under the proposed 2030 Build signalized condition are presented in Table 3. A more detailed summary of the capacity analysis each study area intersection is provided in Appendix J. The results of the capacity analysis at the study area intersection are discussed below.

Table 2: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | Peak <br> Hour | Approach | 2023 Existing |  |  | 2030 No Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $L^{\text {LOS }}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | V/C ${ }^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | V/C |
| Washington Street | AM | EB | B | 13.4 | 0.05 | C | 15.3 | 0.04 |
| at Hawes Street/ |  | WB | E | 37.4 | 0.04 | E | 49.5 | 0.01 |
| Commerce Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PM | EB | C | 22.2 | 0.12 | C | 23.9 | 0.10 |
|  |  | WB | F | 163.9 | 0.50 | F | 273.2 | 0.52 |
|  | SAT | EB | B | 11.8 | 0.04 | B | 12.7 | 0.04 |
|  |  | WB | F | 83.8 | 0.39 | F | 159.6 | 0.53 |

1 Level-of-Service
2 Average vehicle delay in seconds
3 Volume to capacity ratio

As shown in Table 2 above, the eastbound Hawes Street approach of the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard is shown to currently operate at LOS B during the weekday morning peak hour, LOS C during the weekday afternoon peak hour, and LOS B during the Saturday midday peak hour. The westbound Commerce Boulevard approach is shown to currently operate at LOS E during the weekday morning peak hour, and LOS F but under capacity during the weekday afternoon and Saturday midday peak hours. Under 2030 No Build conditions, the eastbound Hawes Street approach is projected to operate at LOS during the weekday morning peak hour. All other approaches are projected to operate at the same LOS under 2023 Existing and 2030 No Build conditions during the peak hours analyzed.

Table 3: 2030 Build Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | Movement | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $L^{\text {O }}{ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C |
| Washington Street | EB LTR | A | 0.8 | 0.08 | B | 17.2 | 0.09 | B | 17.2 | 0.12 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB L | E | 76.5 | 0.65 | E | 73.0 | 0.80 | D | 51.8 | 0.54 |
| Commerce Boulevard | TR | C | 31.1 | 0.65 | A | 1.0 | 0.18 | A | 2.2 | 0.27 |
|  | NB L | D | 38.6 | 0.25 | A | 5.2 | 0.09 | D | 49.2 | 0.11 |
|  | TR | B | 18.0 | 0.97 | A | 7.6 | 0.49 | A | 6.2 | 0.61 |
|  | SB L | E | 70.0 | 0.59 | A | 1.9 | 0.28 | D | 41.4 | 0.51 |
|  | TR | A | 4.0 | 0.28 | B | 13.2 | 0.92 | B | 10.0 | 0.39 |
|  | Overall | B | 17.9 | 0.82 | B | 13.4 | 0.92 | B | 10.2 | 0.68 |

1 Level-of-Service
2 Average vehicle delay in seconds
3 Volume to capacity ratio, intersection capacity utilization reported for overall

With the proposed signal in place, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard would be projected to operate at overall LOS B during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours. The northbound and southbound through movements on Washington Street are projected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hours analyzed. The
westbound left-turn movement is shown to operate at LOS E during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, and LOS D during the Saturday midday peak hour. Signal timings which result in higher delay experienced by the Commerce Boulevard approach are intended to prioritize the Washington Street corridor and will be reviewed in more detail with MassDOT during future permitting processes.

## Site Access and Circulation

Access to the proposed gas station and convenience store would be provided via two full-access driveways on Commerce Boulevard. Sidewalks would be provided on both sides of Commerce Boulevard to facilitate pedestrian access between the project site and Washington Street. The proposed traffic signal at the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street would provide more protected access for vehicles turning into and out of Commerce Boulevard. As part of the signalization, proposed crosswalks on the north and east side of the intersection would provide additional pedestrian access to and from the Wrentham Business Center, including the proposed gas station and convenience store.

## CONCLUSION

The proposed gas station and convenience store would be developed on Lot 1 of the overall Wrentham Business Center subdivision project located on the east side of Washington Street (Route 1) in Wrentham, MA. The project would construct an approximately 4,500 square foot convenience store and a gas station with six vehicle fueling pumps ( 12 total fueling positions). Access to the site would be provided by two driveways on Commerce Boulevard.

Based on the analysis presented in this traffic impact study, the proposed development is estimated to result in approximately 78 new vehicle trips ( 39 entering vehicles and 39 exiting vehicles) during the weekday morning peak hour, approximately 68 new vehicle trips (34 entering vehicles and 34 exiting vehicles) during the weekday afternoon peak hour, and approximately 61 new vehicle trips (33 entering vehicles and 28 exiting vehicles) during the Saturday midday peak hour.

As part of the proposed Wrentham Business Center project, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard is proposed to be placed under traffic signal control. The proposed signal is warranted based on the counted vehicle volumes on Washington Street and Hawes Street, and the projected vehicle volumes on Commerce Boulevard with the proposed warehouse and gas station projects in place. Signal timings and coordination would be adjusted along the Washington Street corridor to facilitate efficient traffic flow. The proposed signalization of the intersection would include crosswalks on the north and east sides of the intersection. Pedestrian access to and from the project site and proposed signal would be provided via sidewalks on both sides of Commerce Boulevard.

Under 2030 Build conditions, with the proposed gas station and convenience store project, proposed warehouse project, and the traffic signal in place, the intersection of Washington Street at Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard is projected to operate at overall LOS B during the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours. Signal timing and phasing included in the analysis of this traffic impact study is proposed to prioritize movements along the Washington Street corridor, while providing additional signalized protection of vehicles entering and exiting Commerce Boulevard and Hawes Street. Additional coordination and review will be required by MassDOT to finalize the ultimate signal timing, phasing, and configuration of the proposed signalized intersection.

Based on the analysis presented in this study, the traffic projected to be generated by the proposed gas station and convenience store development is not shown to have a significant impact on the study area intersection with the proposed traffic signal in place.
a Bowman company

# Appendix for Traffic Impact Study 

Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Commerce Boulevard
Wrentham, MA

Prepared by
McMahon, a Bowman Company
350 Myles Standish Boulevard Ste 103
Taunton, MA

## APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data

Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:

Wrentham, MA
Washington Street (Route 1) Hawes St/Supercharged driveway

4/1/2023
Saturday
Rain, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

TRAFFIC DATA
PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 0170
Office: 978-746-1259
DataRequest@BostonTrafficData.com
www.BostonTrafficData.com

PASSENGER CARS \& HEAVY VEHICLES COMBINED
Washington Street (Route 1)
Washington Street (Route 1)

| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn |  |  |
| $11: 00$ AM | 0 | 0 | 210 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 4 | 222 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| $11: 30$ AM | 0 | 4 | 248 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 | 291 | 19 | 1 |  |  |
| $12: 00$ PM | 0 | 2 | 279 | 17 | 0 |  |  |
| $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 | 254 | 8 | 0 |  |  |
| $12: 30$ PM | 0 | 4 | 269 | 13 | 0 |  |  |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 258 | 17 | 0 |  |  |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 233 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| $1: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 256 | 15 | 0 |  |  |
| $1: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 5 | 284 | 14 | 0 |  |  |
| $1: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 274 | 15 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Hawes Street Eastbound

Supercharged driveway Westbound

| Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { MID PEAK HOUR } \\ 1: 00 \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { to } \\ 2: 00 \mathrm{PM} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 13 | 1047 | 47 | 1 | 23 | 952 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 10 |
| PHF | 0.91 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.55 |  |  |  | 0.65 |  |  |  |
| HV \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

Client: Emil Gruber, EIT
Project \#:
BTD \#: 1231_1_MM
Location 3
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Wrentham, MA
Washington Street (Route 1) Hawes St/Supercharged driveway

Day of Week: Saturday
Weather:
Rain, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701 Office: 978-746-1259
DataRequest@BostonTrafficData.com
www.BostonTrafficData.com

|  | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | HICL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

MID PEAK HOUR
MID PEAK HO
Washington Street (Route 1
Washington Street (Route 1)
Southbound
$\qquad$
$\square$

$\qquad$ Right $\quad$ U-Turn $\quad$ Left $\quad$ Th | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Thru | Rig |

2:45 PM PHF

Project \#:
BTD \#:
Location:
Street 1:
Street 2:
Count Date:
Day of Week:
Weather:
www.BostonTrafficData.com

## PEDESTRIANS \& BICYCLES

Washington Street (Route 1)

| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Washington Street (Route 1)
Southbound

| Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Hawes Stree Eastbound

Supercharged driveway Westbound

| MID PEAK HOUR <br> 1:00 PM <br> to <br> 2.00 PM | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Peak hour summaries here correspond to peak hours identified for passenger car and heavy vehicles combined.

| Client: | Emil Gruber, EIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project \#: | 1232_1_MM | I |
| BTD \#: | Location 3 | I |
| Location: | Wrentham, MA |  |
| Street 1: | Washington Street (Route 1) | D AFFIC |
| Street 2: | Hawes St/Supercharged driveway | TRAFFICDATA |
| Count Date: | 4/4/2023 | PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701 |
| Day of Week: | Tuesday | DataRequest@BostonTrafficData.com |

Washington Street (Route 1)
Northbound
PASSENGER CARS \& HEAVY VEHICLES COMBINED

|  | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 14 | 437 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 482 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 486 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 371 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 1 | 352 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 313 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 283 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 264 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 195 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 209 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 211 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 167 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 182 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 222 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 191 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 175 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 210 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 218 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 167 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 213 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 465 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 6 | 221 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 225 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 183 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 182 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 392 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 197 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 415 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 192 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 453 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 455 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 206 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 474 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 206 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 482 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 205 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 529 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 205 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 207 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 349 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 8 | 175 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 339 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 151 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 172 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 217 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 198 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| AM PEAK HOUR <br> $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ <br> to <br> 8:00 AM <br>  | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 23 | 1892 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 516 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PHF | 0.97 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.60 |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |


| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { MID PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 12:30 PM } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 1:30 PM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
|  | 0 | 11 | 798 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 852 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| ${ }_{\text {PHV }}^{\text {Pr }}$ | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 7.4\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 4:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 819 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 1927 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| PHF | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.91 |  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  | 0.67 |  |  |  |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Client: | Emil Gruber, EIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project \#: | 1232_1_MM | D |
| BTD \#: | Location 3 | - |
| Location: | Wrentham, MA |  |
| Street 1: | Washington Street (Route 1) |  |
| Street 2: | Hawes St/Supercharged driveway | RAFFC DAA |
| Count Date: | 4/4/2023 | PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701 |
| Day of Week: | Tuesday | Office: 978-746-1259 |
| Weather: | Clouds \& Sun, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | www.BostonTrafficData.com |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EAVY | HICLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ingto | et (Ro und |  |  | ington | (Ro und |  |  |  | reet <br> nd |  |  | perch W | drivew und |  |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AM PEAK HOUR 9:00 AM | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PHF | 0.74 |  |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| MID PEAK HOUR <br> 10:15 AM | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| to | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.77 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 3:00 PM } \end{gathered}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged drivewayWestbound |  |  |  |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PHF | 0.78 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |


| Client: | Emil Gruber, EIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project \#: | 1232_1_MM |  |
| BTD \#: | Location 3 |  |
| Location: | Wrentham, MA |  |
| Street 1: | Washington Street (Route 1) |  |
| Street 2: | Hawes St/Supercharged driveway | RAFFICDHA |
| Count Date: | 4/4/2023 | PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701 |
| Day of Week: | Tuesday | Office: 978-746-1259 DataRequest $a$ BostonTrafficData.com |
| Weather: | Clouds \& Sun, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | www.BostonTrafficData.com |

PEDESTRIANS \& BICYCLES

|  | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM PEAK HOUR } \\ 7: 00 \mathrm{AM} \end{gathered}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
| to | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MID PEAK HOUR 12:30 PM | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM PEAK HOUR } \\ \text { 4:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | Washington Street (Route 1) Northbound |  |  |  | Washington Street (Route 1) Southbound |  |  |  | Hawes Street Eastbound |  |  |  | Supercharged driveway Westbound |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED | Left | Thru | Right | PED |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Peak hour summaries here correspond to peak hours identified for passenger car and heavy vehicles combined.

## APPENDIX B

Seasonal Adjustment Data

## SEASONAL ADJUSTMENT DATA

Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

| MassDOT Continuous Count Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ID | Town | Roadway | Year | AADT | April ADT | AADT |
| 6312 | Mansfield | I-495 | 2017 | 85,149 | 81,244 | $-4.6 \%$ |
|  |  | 2015 | 79,034 | 76,995 | $-2.6 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 82,098 | 79,120 | $-3.6 \%$ |  |

## APPENDIX C

Traffic Projection Model

TRAFFIC PROJECTION MODEL

## Weekday Morning Peak Hour

## Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store

Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Dir. | Turn | 2023 <br> Counted <br> Volumes | Seasonal Adjustment |  | Background Growth 7 yrs (1\% per year) | $\quad 500$ Thurston St Gas Station | 500-524 <br> Thurston St <br> Warehouse | 2030 <br> No Build Volumes | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 15 \text { Commerce } \\ \text { Blvd } \\ \text { Warehouse } \end{array}$ | Project Trips PERCENT ENTER | Project Trips ENTER | Project Trips PERCENT EXIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project Trips } \\ \text { EXIT } \end{gathered}$ | Pass-by Trips | Project Trips TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} 2030 \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { Volumes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington Street at | EB | L | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hawes Street/Commerce Blvd |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \& ITP South Driveway |  | R | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | WB | L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  | 50\% | 20 | 26 | 46 | 56 |
|  |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | R | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 50\% | 19 | 95 | 114 | 118 |
|  | NB | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | L | 23 | 1 | 24 | 2 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | -1 | -1 | 25 |
|  |  | T | 1892 | 71 | 1963 | 142 | 44 | 15 | 2164 |  |  |  |  |  | -95 | -95 | 2069 |
|  |  | R | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 36 | 50\% | 20 |  |  | 96 | 116 | 153 |
|  | SB | U | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | L | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 9 | 50\% | 19 |  |  | 27 | 46 | 56 |
|  |  | T | 516 | 19 | 535 | 39 | 43 | 5 | 622 |  |  |  |  |  | -26 | -26 | 596 |
|  |  | R | 13 | 0 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | -1 | -1 | 13 |

Peak Hour: 7:00AM - 8:00AM

TRAFFIC PROJECTION MODEL

## Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

## Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store

Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Dir. | Turn | 2023 Counted Volumes | Seasonal Adjustment | $2023$ <br> Existing Volumes | Background Growth 7 yrs (1\% per year) | $\quad 500$ Thurston St Gas Station | $500-524$ <br> Thurston St <br> Warehouse | 2030 No Build Volumes | 15 Commerce Blvd Warehouse | Project Trips PERCENT ENTER | Project Trips ENTER | Project Trips PERCENT EXIT | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Project Trips } \\ \text { EXIT } \end{array}$ | Pass-by Trips | Project Trips TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} 2030 \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { Volumes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington Street at | EB | L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hawes Street/Commerce Blvd |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \& ITP South Driveway |  | R | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | -1 | -1 | 18 |
|  | WB | L | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 37 |  |  | 50\% | 17 | 72 | 89 | 133 |
|  |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | R | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  | 50\% | 17 | 31 | 48 | 61 |
|  | NB | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | L | 13 | 0 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  |  | T | 818 | 31 | 849 | 61 | 43 | 6 | 959 |  |  |  |  |  | -31 | -31 | 928 |
|  |  | R | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 |  |  | 14 | 12 | 50\% | 17 |  |  | 31 | 48 | 74 |
|  | SB | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | L | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 50\% | 17 |  |  | 71 | 88 | 96 |
|  |  | T | 1895 | 71 | 1966 | 142 | 42 | 16 | 2166 |  |  |  |  |  | -71 | -71 | 2095 |
|  |  | R | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 9 |

Peak Hour. 4:45PM - 5:45PM

TRAFFIC PROJECTION MODEL

## Saturday Midday Peak Hour

## Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store

Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Dir. | Turn | 2023 Counted <br> Volumes | Seasonal Adjustment | $2023$ <br> Existing Volumes | Background Growth 7 yrs (1\% per year) | $\quad 500$ Thurston St Gas Station | 500-524 <br> Thurston St <br> Warehouse |  | 15 Commerce Blvd Warehouse | Project Trips PERCENT ENTER | Project Trips ENTER | Project Trips PERCENT EXIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project Trips } \\ \text { EXIT } \end{gathered}$ | Pass-by Trips | Project Trips TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} 2030 \\ \text { Build } \\ \text { Volumes } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington Street at | EB | L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hawes Street/Commerce Blvd |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \& ITP South Driveway |  | R | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | -1 | -1 | 18 |
|  | WB | L | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |  |  | 11 | 4 |  |  | 50\% | 14 | 42 | 56 | 71 |
|  |  | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | R | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 1 |  |  | 50\% | 14 | 50 | 64 | 75 |
|  | NB | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | L | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  |  | T | 1093 | 41 | 1134 | 82 | 44 | 3 | 1263 |  |  |  |  |  | -50 | -50 | 1213 |
|  |  | R | 57 | 0 | 57 | 0 |  |  | 57 | 6 | 50\% | 17 |  |  | 50 | 67 | 130 |
|  | SB | U | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | L | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 |  |  | 18 | 2 | 50\% | 16 |  |  | 41 | 57 | 77 |
|  |  | T | 883 | 33 | 920 | 66 | 42 | 2 | 1030 |  |  |  |  |  | -41 | -41 | 989 |
|  |  | R | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Peak Hour: 11:45AM - 12:45PM

APPENDIX D
Crash Analysis

## CRASH ANALYSIS

|  | Washington Street at Hawes Street |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |
| 2015 | 2 |
| 2016 | 1 |
| 2017 | 4 |
| 2018 | 5 |
| 2019 | 2 |
| 2020 | 2 |
| Type |  |
| Angle | 5 |
| Rear-end | 4 |
| Sideswipe | 3 |
| Head-on | 0 |
| Single Vehicle | 3 |
| Other | 0 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Severity |  |
| Property Damage | 9 |
| Personal Injury | 6 |
| Fatality | 0 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Weather |  |
| Clear | 9 |
| Cloudy | 2 |
| Rain | 3 |
| Snow | 0 |
| Fog | 0 |
| Unknown | 2 |
| Total | 16 |
| Road Surface |  |
| Dry | 12 |
| Wet | 3 |
| Ice | 0 |
| Snow | 0 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Time |  |
| 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM | 0 |
| 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM | 7 |
| 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM | 3 |
| 6:00 PM to 7:00 AM | 6 |
| Total | 16 |
| Crash Rate | 0.26 |
| State Average | 0.57 |
| District 5 Average | 0.57 |

## APPENDIX E

Signal Warrant Analysis

## Project Information

| Analyst | McMahon Associates | Date | $5 / 19 / 2023$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Agency |  | Analysis Year |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | MassDOT | Time Period Analyzed | 2023 Existing w/ Project |  |
| Project Description | Wrentham Business Center |  |  |  |
| General |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street Direction | North-South | Population < 10,000 | No |  |
| Starting Time Interval | 6 | Coordinated Signal System | Yes |  |
| Median Type | Undivided | Crashes (crashes/year) | 0 |  |
| Major Street Speed (mi/h) | 55 | Adequate Trials of Crash Exp. Alt. | No |  |
| Nearest Signal (ft) | 2400 |  |  |  |

## Geometry and Traffic



| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Number of Lanes, N | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Lane Usage |  | LTR |  | L |  | R | L | TR |  | L | TR |  |
| Vehicle Volumes Averages (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 65 | 0 | 51 | 12 | 940 | 6 | 2 | 1032 | 6 |
| Pedestrian Averages (peds/h) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Gap Averages (gaps/h) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Delay (veh-hrs) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |

## School Crossing and Roadway Network

| Number of Students in Highest Hour | 0 | Two or More Major Routes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Adequate Gaps in Period | 0 | Weekend Counts | No |
| Number of Minutes in Period | 0 | 5 -year Growth Factor (\%) | 0 |

Railroad Crossing

| Grade Crossing Approach | None | Rail Traffic (trains/day) | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Volume Hour with Trains | Unknown | High Occupancy Buses (\%) | 0 |
| Distance to Stop Line (ft) |  | Tractor-Trailer Trucks (\%) | 7 |

## Volume Summary

| Hour | Major <br> Volume | Minor <br> Volume | Total <br> Volume | Peds/h | Gaps/h | 1 A <br> $(70 \%)$ | 1 A <br> $(56 \%)$ | 1 B <br> $(70 \%)$ | 1 B <br> $(56 \%)$ | 2 <br> $(70 \%)$ | 3 A <br> $(70 \%)$ | 3 B <br> $(70 \%)$ | 4 A <br> $(70 \%)$ | 4 B <br> $(70 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $07-08$ | 2446 | 100 | 2558 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| $08-09$ | 2032 | 112 | 2154 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $09-10$ | 1667 | 102 | 1775 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $10-11$ | 1457 | 99 | 1563 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| $11-12$ | 1444 | 111 | 1559 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $12-13$ | 1559 | 127 | 1698 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $13-14$ | 1655 | 111 | 1777 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $14-15$ | 2260 | 117 | 2391 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $15-16$ | 2388 | 138 | 2533 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $16-17$ | 2666 | 140 | 2818 | 0 | 0 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $17-18$ | 2637 | 142 | 2800 | 0 | 0 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| $18-19$ | 1792 | 101 | 1902 | 0 | 0 | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Total | 24003 | 1400 | 25528 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

## Warrants

## Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

A. Minimum Vehicular Volumes (Both major approaches --and-- higher minor approach) --or--
B. Interruption of Continuous Traffic (Both major approaches --and-- higher minor approach) --or--

56\% Vehicular --and-- Interruption Volumes (Both major approaches --and-- higher minor approach)
Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (Both major approaches --and-- higher minor approach)
Warrant 3: Peak Hour
A. Peak-Hour Conditions (Minor delay -- and-- minor volume --and-- total volume) --or--
B. Peak-Hour Vehicular Volumes (Both major approaches --and-- higher minor approach)

Warrant 4: Pedestrian Volume
A. Four Hour Volumes --or--
B. One-Hour Volumes

Warrant 5: School Crossing
Gaps Same Period --and--
Student Volumes
Nearest Traffic Control Signal (optional)
Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System
Degree of Platooning (Predominant direction or both directions)
Warrant 7: Crash Experience
A. Adequate trials of alternatives, observance and enforcement failed --and--
B. Reported crashes susceptible to correction by signal (12-month period) --and--
C. $56 \%$ Volumes for Warrants 1A, 1B, --or-- 4 are satisfied

Warrant 8: Roadway Network
A. Weekday Volume (Peak hour total --and-- projected warrants 1, 2, or 3) --or--
B. Weekend Volume (Five hours total)

## Warrant 9: Grade Crossing

A. Grade Crossing within 140 ft --and--
B. Peak-Hour Vehicular Volumes

## APPENDIX F

Highway Capacity Manual Methodologies

## CAPACITY/LEVEL-OF-SERVICE ANALYSES METHODOLOGY

The detailed capacity/level-of-service analysis contained in this traffic impact study was performed in accordance with the standard techniques contained in the Highway Capacity Manual.(1) By definition, capacity represents "the maximum rate of flow that can reasonably be expected to pass a point on a uniform section of a lane or roadway under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions." The level of functioning of an intersection or a uniform section of a lane or roadway can be expressed in terms of levels of service. Level of service (LOS) is defined as "a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, and their perception by motorists and/or passengers". Such measures include "speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and safety."

At unsignalized intersections, a methodology for evaluating the relative functioning of intersections controlled by stop or yield signs has been developed, and is based on several assumptions, including:

- Major street flows are not affected by the minor (stop-sign controlled) street movements.
- Left turns from the major street to the minor street are influenced only by opposing major street through flow.
- Minor street left turns are impeded by all major street traffic plus opposing minor street traffic.
- Minor street through traffic is impeded by all major street traffic.
- Minor street right turns are impeded only by the major street traffic coming from the left.

The concept of stop-controlled or yield-controlled intersection analysis is based on the estimate of average total delay on minor streets. The methodology of analysis relies on three elements: the size and distribution of gaps in the major traffic stream, the usefulness of these gaps to the minor stream drivers, and the relative priority of the various traffic streams at the intersection. The results of the analysis provide an estimate of average total delay for the various critical movements at the unsignalized intersections. Correlation between average total delay and the respective levels of service are provided for unsignalized intersections as follows:

[^0]Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Control Delay Per Vehicle <br> (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

At signalized intersections, an additional element must be considered: time allocation. Level of service is based on the average control delay per vehicle for various movements within the intersection. Volume/capacity relationships also affect the operations of signalized intersections. Thus, both volume/capacity and delay must be considered to evaluate the overall operation of a signalized intersection. Correlation between average delay per vehicle and the respective levels of service are provided for signalized intersections as follows:

Signalized Intersections

| Level of <br> Service | Control Delay Per Vehicle <br> (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

## APPENDIX G

2023 Existing Capacity/Level-of-Service Analysis







APPENDIX H
2030 No Build Capacity/Level-of-Service Analysis



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 911 | - | - | 365 | 82 | 50 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.031 | - | -0.039 | 0.013 | 0.043 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.1 | 0 | - | 15.3 | 49.5 | 80.2 | 2.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | C | E | F | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - |






## APPENDIX I

2030 Build Capacity/Level-of-Service Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | 7 | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 7 | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 12 | 56 | 2 | 118 | 25 | 2069 | 153 | 57 | 596 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 12 | 56 | 2 | 118 | 25 | 2069 | 153 | 57 | 596 | 13 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -4\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1557 | 0 | 1761 | 1579 | 0 | 1814 | 3458 | 0 | 1761 | 3176 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.814 |  | 0.748 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1272 | 0 | 1386 | 1579 | 0 | 1808 | 3458 | 0 | 1761 | 3176 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 98 |  |  | 99 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 141 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 1704 |  |  | 143 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 9\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 14 | 0 | 61 | 130 | 0 | 27 | 2415 | 0 | 62 | 662 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 12.0 | 75.0 |  | 12.0 | 75.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.0\% | 13.0\% |  | 13.0\% | 13.0\% |  | 12.0\% | 75.0\% |  | 12.0\% | 75.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 6.8 |  | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 6.0 | 71.6 |  | 6.0 | 74.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.06 | 0.72 |  | 0.06 | 0.74 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.08 |  | 0.65 | 0.65 |  | 0.25 | 0.97 |  | 0.59 | 0.28 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 0.8 |  | 76.5 | 31.1 |  | 38.6 | 18.0 |  | 70.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 0.8 |  | 76.5 | 31.1 |  | 38.6 | 18.0 |  | 70.0 | 4.0 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  | E | C |  | D | B |  | E | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 0.8 |  |  | 45.6 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 9.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 0 |  | 39 | 19 |  | 16 | $\sim 235$ |  | 40 | 60 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 0 |  | \#101 | \#94 |  | m19 | \#977 |  | m\#89 | 73 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 61 |  |  | 186 |  |  | 1624 |  |  | 63 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 180 |  | 97 | 202 |  | 108 | 2480 |  | 105 | 2351 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Washington Street \& Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | , | $\pm$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 18 | 133 | 0 | 61 | 14 | 928 | 74 | 96 | 2095 | 9 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 18 | 133 | 0 | 61 | 14 | 928 | 74 | 96 | 2095 | 9 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -4\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1686 | 0 | 1761 | 1575 | 0 | 1680 | 3486 | 0 | 1761 | 3553 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.744 |  |  | 0.064 |  |  | 0.204 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1686 | 0 | 1379 | 1575 | 0 | 113 | 3486 | 0 | 378 | 3553 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 20 |  |  | 196 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 141 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 1704 |  |  | 143 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 145 | 66 | 0 | 15 | 1089 | 0 | 104 | 2287 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 12.0 | 62.0 |  | 18.0 | 68.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 12.0\% | 62.0\% |  | 18.0\% | 68.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 13.2 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |  | 68.9 | 64.1 |  | 73.2 | 70.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.13 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.69 | 0.64 |  | 0.73 | 0.70 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.09 |  | 0.80 | 0.18 |  | 0.09 | 0.49 |  | 0.28 | 0.92 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 17.2 |  | 73.0 | 1.0 |  | 5.2 | 7.6 |  | 1.9 | 13.2 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 17.2 |  | 73.0 | 1.0 |  | 5.2 | 7.6 |  | 1.9 | 13.2 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  | E | A |  | A | A |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.2 |  |  | 50.5 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) |  | 1 |  | 90 | 0 |  | 1 | 121 |  | 3 | 32 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 22 |  | \#189 | 0 |  | m7 | 125 |  | m4 | m\#942 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 61 |  |  | 186 |  |  | 1624 |  |  | 63 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 253 |  | 193 | 389 |  | 172 | 2239 |  | 448 | 2488 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Washington Street \& Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  | $1$ |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 18 | 71 | 0 | 75 | 12 | 1213 | 130 | 78 | 989 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 18 | 71 | 0 | 75 | 12 | 1213 | 130 | 78 | 989 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -4\% |  |  | 1\% |  |  | -1\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 1796 | 1575 | 0 | 1814 | 3538 | 0 | 1796 | 3556 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.744 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 1403 | 1575 | 0 | 1814 | 3538 | 0 | 1795 | 3556 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 20 |  |  | 157 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 141 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 1704 |  |  | 143 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 21.1 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 77 | 82 | 0 | 13 | 1459 | 0 | 85 | 1076 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  | 6.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  | 12.0 | 56.0 |  | 16.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |  | 13.3\% | 62.2\% |  | 17.8\% | 66.7\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 9.2 |  | 9.2 | 9.2 |  | 6.0 | 60.5 |  | 8.3 | 70.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.10 |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.07 | 0.67 |  | 0.09 | 0.78 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.12 |  | 0.54 | 0.27 |  | 0.11 | 0.61 |  | 0.51 | 0.39 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 17.2 |  | 51.8 | 2.2 |  | 49.2 | 6.2 |  | 41.4 | 10.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 17.2 |  | 51.8 | 2.2 |  | 49.2 | 6.2 |  | 41.4 | 10.0 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  | D | A |  | D | A |  | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.2 |  |  | 26.2 |  |  | 6.6 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 1 |  | 42 | 0 |  | 8 | 68 |  | 47 | 204 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 22 |  | 85 | 1 |  | m16 | 70 |  | 94 | 273 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 61 |  |  | 186 |  |  | 1624 |  |  | 63 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 227 |  | 187 | 346 |  | 120 | 2384 |  | 199 | 2766 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |


| $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | * |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 |  | 0.41 | 0.24 |  | 0.11 | 0.61 |  | 0.43 | 0.39 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherCycle Length: $90 \quad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 45 ( $50 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 10.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.3\% ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is | metere | by upst | am sig |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Washington Street \& Hawes Street/Commerce Boulevard


## APPENDIX J

Capacity/Level-of-Service Analysis Summary

## CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY

Weekday Morning Peak Hour Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

|  |  | 2023 Existing |  |  |  |  | 2030 No Build |  |  |  | 2030 Build |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Movement | LOS $^{1}$ | Delay $^{2}$ | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C |  |  |  |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | B | 13.4 | 0.05 | C | 15.3 | 0.04 | A | 0.8 | 0.08 |  |  |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | E | 37.4 | 0.04 | E | 49.5 | 0.01 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | E | 76.5 | 0.65 |  |  |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | C | 31.1 | 0.65 |  |  |
|  | NB | LTR | A | 0.1 | 0.03 | A | 0.1 | 0.03 | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | D | 38.6 | 0.25 |  |  |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | B | 18.0 | 0.97 |  |  |
|  | SB | LTR | A | 1.2 | 0.03 | A | 2.8 | 0.04 | - | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | E | 70.0 | 0.59 |  |  |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 4.0 | 0.28 |  |  |
|  | Overall | - | - | - | - | - | - | $B$ | 17.9 | 0.82 |  |  |  |

1 Level-of-Service
2 Average vehicle delay in seconds
3 Volume to capacity ratio, intersection capacity utilization reported for signalized overall

- Not applicable


## QUEUE SUMMARY

## Weekday Morning Peak Hour

## Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store

Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Movement |  | 2023 Existing |  | 2030 No Build |  | 2030 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50th Queue ${ }^{1}$ | 95th Queue ${ }^{2}$ | 50th Queue | 95th Queue | 50th Queue | 95th Queue |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | - |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | 39 | 110 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 20 | 104 |
|  | NB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 17 | 19 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 220 | 965 |
|  | SB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 40 | 87 |
|  |  | R | - | - | - | - | 57 | 70 |

[^1]- Not applicable


## CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY

## Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Movement |  | 2023 Existing |  |  | 2030 No Build |  |  | 2030 Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | C | 22.2 | 0.12 | C | 23.9 | 0.10 | B | 17.2 | 0.09 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | F | 163.9 | 0.50 | F | 273.2 | 0.52 | - | - | - |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | E | 73.0 | 0.80 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 1.0 | 0.18 |
|  | NB | LTR | A | 1.6 | 0.06 | A | 2.8 | 0.08 | - | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 5.2 | 0.09 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 7.6 | 0.49 |
|  | SB | LTR | A | 0.0 | 0.01 | A | 0.0 | 0.01 | - | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 1.9 | 0.28 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | B | 13.2 | 0.92 |
|  |  | erall | - | - | - | - | - | - | B | 13.4 | 0.92 |

1 Level-of-Service
2 Average vehicle delay in seconds
3 Volume to capacity ratio, intersection capacity utilization reported for signalized overall

- Not applicable


## QUEUE SUMMARY

## Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Movement |  | 2023 Existing |  | 2030 No Build |  | 2030 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50th Queue ${ }^{1}$ | 95th Queue ${ }^{2}$ | 50th Queue | 95th Queue | 50th Queue | 95th Queue |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | - | 10 | - | 8 | 1 | 22 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | - | 45 | - | 38 | - | - |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | 90 | 189 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | NB | LTR | - | 5 | - | 8 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 121 | 125 |
|  | SB | LTR | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 |
|  |  | R | - | - | - | - | 32 | 942 |

1 50th percentile queue in feet
2 95th percentile queue in feet

- Not applicable


## CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY

Saturday Midday Peak Hour
Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Movement |  | 2023 Existing |  |  | 2030 No Build |  |  | 2030 Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | B | 11.8 | 0.04 | B | 12.7 | 0.04 | B | 17.2 | 0.12 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | F | 83.8 | 0.39 | F | 159.6 | 0.53 | - | - | - |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | D | 51.8 | 0.54 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 2.2 | 0.27 |
|  | NB | LTR | A | 0.4 | 0.02 | A | 0.7 | 0.02 | - | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | D | 49.2 | 0.11 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | A | 6.2 | 0.61 |
|  | SB | LTR | A | 0.6 | 0.04 | A | 0.9 | 0.04 | - | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | D | 41.4 | 0.51 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | - | - | B | 10.0 | 0.39 |
|  |  | erall | - | - | - | - | - | - | B | 10.2 | 0.68 |

1 Level-of-Service
2 Average vehicle delay in seconds
3 Volume to capacity ratio, intersection capacity utilization reported for signalized overall

- Not applicable


## QUEUE SUMMARY

## Saturday Midday Peak Hour

Proposed Gas Station \& Convenience Store
Wrentham, MA

| Intersection | Movement |  | 2023 Existing |  | 2030 No Build |  | 2030 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50th Queue ${ }^{1}$ | 95th Queue ${ }^{2}$ | 50th Queue | 95th Queue | 50th Queue | 95th Queue |
| Washington Street | EB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | 22 |
| at Hawes Street/ | WB | LTR | - | 38 | - | 48 | - | - |
| Commerce Boulevard |  | L | - | - | - | - | 42 | 85 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 |
|  | NB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | TR | - | - | - | - | 68 | 70 |
|  | SB | LTR | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
|  |  | L | - | - | - | - | 47 | 94 |
|  |  | R | - | - | - | - | 204 | 273 |

1 50th percentile queue in feet
2 95th percentile queue in feet

- Not applicable


[^0]:    (1) Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, 2016.

[^1]:    1 50th percentile queue in feet
    2 95th percentile queue in feet

